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Introduction

This book represents an effort to apply the thought of my teacher, Reverend Sun Myung Moon, to the challenge of developing a church. It deals with the goal of the Unification community to be valued by society and to expand by attracting dedicated members. I write this as an evangelical Unificationist, a person convinced that the Reverend Moon and his wife, Dr. Hak Ja Han Moon, are the True Parents of all humankind. This means to me that, through them, God has disclosed His heart, love and nature in a way that builds upon the world’s faith traditions and brings them to fulfillment. I believe that the expansion of this message is the most important event that could take place in the world today. I believe that it is the believer’s responsibility to do this and that God works through our imperfect selves and congregations, which are “vessels of clay.” To make of ourselves and our church communities as effective as they can be, I believe that believers can learn from the best practices of other churches. I present research that shows that the churches that are expanding today in America, and have done so for the last three centuries, are those adopting a specific type of organizational form, that I call the “populist” approach.¹

As I began exploring the populist approach, I found that the Divine Principle advocates this approach. Its teachings go so far as to assert that in the era of the Second Coming, after the ages in which God, Jesus and the Holy Spirit took direct responsibility for the world, “the people of faith on earth and in heaven are to bear the third responsibility to defeat Satan.” That is, the first responsibility was God’s directly. It went from God to Jesus and the Holy Spirit, and finally the salvation of the world is in the hands of “the people of faith on earth and in heaven.” In our present time, God will work directly through common people, and this is the basis of the populist approach. “Hence,” the Principle goes on to state, “this period is called the age of the providence based on the believers’ responsibility.”²

Further, I found that Father Moon began his church on a populist model and calls for the same in activities like home church and family church. Thus the Unification Church has a strong foundation to utilize the populist model.

This short book begins with the point that believer’s responsibility is to win people to True Parents. I then make a case that Unification evangelism—sharing the breaking news—is the method to bring world peace, because it is the root of peace-building. I dwell on this in response to the argument that to expand the Unification Church is counter to the goal of peace. This reflects the view that religion is a problem, or that religions are good if practiced well, but the world doesn’t need a new one. To follow this line of thinking, the world needs something new, but not a religion, and the True Parents founded that “something new.” The nature of that is not well-defined, but it is something between a family and a culture and not a religion. If this is so, then the Unification mission is not to grow a church.

I believe that it is necessary to grow the church, and that the process of doing so will shape the church into what God wants it to be. Therefore I will begin with a discussion of the extent to which a “church” is the proper vehicle for the work of True Parents. By way of self-disclosure, I
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¹ pop·u·list n “an advocate of the rights and interests of ordinary people, for example, in politics or the arts,” adj “emphasizing or promoting ordinary people, their lives, or their interests.” Encarta® World English Dictionary © 1999 Microsoft Corporation.
have dedicated myself to the Unification Church since my conversion at age 24 and this includes my professional career in pastoral roles, in the national administration including as president, and as president of the Unification Theological Seminary. I have been given leadership roles also in para-church organizations pursuing ecumenical, interfaith and educational missions. My writings here reflect my understanding of the church in these capacities. The church has blessed me enormously.

I present core values that lead to outreach and growth and then a discussion of the populist church model in American history and contemporary scene. I explain why the populist, decentralized and organizationally flat churches that train and empower their members and focus on direct experience of God grow. I present an outline of the differences between the populist and denominational models, and how churches that have adopted the latter can, if they so choose, change in a populist direction. I conclude by pointing out the populist affirmations of the Divine Principle, populist characteristics of Unification Church practice historically and today, remarks on how the populist approach can serve as a platform to achieve True Father’s vision of the unity of religions, and the Divine Principle’s indication of how the kingdom of God will appear.

With a desire to serve God and True Parents, to whom I give all thanks, a readiness to receive correction, and a hope that this will lead to greater good, I present this offering.

Tyler Hendricks
Red Hook, New York
June, 2010
I. Church Growth Begins with God

In this book I will address most of my questions to organizational structure and strategy. But the prior matter, the first piece of the puzzle, is the purpose of the organizational structure and strategy, which is the personal encounter with God. And that starts with God.

The Unificationist Message

To succeed, religions need a simple and distinctive message through which people experience God’s spirit. When it comes to religion in a free society, people vote with their feet. But the church cannot control that or force that. It is God alone who finally moves hearts and feet. That foot-moving, heart-moving message is about God; it is God’s Word. God speaks out of who He is, and it is my confession that who He is, is revealed in True Parents. In True Parents, God has revealed who He is and the motive of creation—the marriage of husband and wife, the making of two persons into one. So God reveals Himself through persons and personal relations. Everything boils down to personhood.

God is a person and we are persons. Personhood is the absolute value and love for persons, God and one’s neighbor, is the highest end for any action. The purpose of creation lies in the love relationship between persons, which results in the highest experience of joy. And God is a person. Therefore the core mission of religion is to facilitate a personal relationship between each of us and God and with each other. By those relationships, I become a full person.

This is why the most powerful religious movements have arisen in the name of a person and the core message of each faith congeals around a person or persons. The Divine Principle calls such persons central figures: Moses, Jesus, Muhammad, Buddha, Krishna, Confucius, Lao Tse, Zoroaster, Guru Nanak… these persons bring God into the world.

What were we Unificaitonists called back when we were an item? Not Unificationists, but Moonies. The public associates us with a person—Reverend Moon. Tell people you belong the Unification Church and they probably will say, huh? You’re a Unitarian? Then tell them, y’know, Reverend Moon. Oh, Reverend Moon! This is the way God works and the human brain works, because the cosmos is personal.

And each person, each religious founder, comes with a message. To identify themselves, the churches over the centuries created simple statements of belief, called creeds, and these centered on the personage of the founder. To become a member of the church, a person affirmed the creed (based on education), repented, received the sacrament or somehow entered into the ceremonial life of the religion, and was expected to live out their faith in community.

A creed provides the purpose for education and the lodestone for evangelism. Creeds are powerful statements, and good ones last for millennia. Consider the Jewish creed, in which the person is YHWH God:

“Hear, O Israel, the Lord, our God, is the Lord one.”

God is one person, “I am who I am. Tell them ‘I am’ sent you,” God told Moses at the burning bush. God is one person, the one Lord above all.
The essential Christian creed, the Nicene Creed, is mainly about the person Jesus Christ:

“I believe in God the Father Almighty,  
Maker of heaven and earth:  
And in Jesus Christ his only Son our Lord,  
Who was conceived by the Holy Ghost,  
Born of the Virgin Mary,  
Suffered under Pontius Pilate,  
Was crucified, dead, and buried:  
He descended into hell;  
The third day he rose again from the dead;  
He ascended into heaven,  
And sits on the right hand of God the Father Almighty;  
From thence he shall come to judge the quick and the dead.  
I believe in the Holy Ghost;  
The holy Catholic Church;  
The Communion of Saints;  
The Forgiveness of sins;  
The Resurrection of the body,  
And the Life everlasting. Amen.”

The Islamic creed, the Shahadah, focuses on one person, the Prophet:

“I testify that there is no god but God (Allah), and I testify that Muhammad is the messenger of God.”

We have the following text from the Korean church. It is the closest approximation of a Unificationist creed of which I am aware—a creed is different from a pledge—and it focuses on the True Parents:

“We hold the following to be true:  
The True Parents of Heaven, Earth and Humankind are the first in all history and will eternally be the one and only Returning Lord, Peace King and King of Kings because they are the only ones to have fully revealed the nature of God’s divine essence. This essence is true love, a love that can bring even Satan to voluntary surrender. Our True Parents have enabled us to resemble God and approach His divine value as human beings.”

This is about the personal True Parents and what they give to each of us. A more recent “Special Proclamation” reflects even more strongly the centrality of persons to religious faith:

God is the one King of Kings.  
There is only one set of True Parents.  
All families are the people who share a single lineage and are the children of one heavenly kingdom. Moreover, the command center of cosmic peace and unity is the absolute and unique command center. Its representative heir is Hyung Jin Moon.

3 Unification Church Headquarters, Korea, March, 2010.  
4 Sun Myung Moon, June, 2010. Passages relevant to this essay included.
The True Parents of Heaven, Earth and Humankind are the central figure of the Unification Church and they are two persons, Sun Myung Moon and his wife Hak Ja Han. Jesus personally called Sun Myung Moon who in turn called Hak Ja Han. Now he has called his youngest son, Reverend Hyung Jin Moon, to take his mantle. God is absolute, unchanging, unique and eternal and in Unification Church faith the same dignity is granted the True Parents. So too Jesus declared himself to be the way, the truth and the life and that no one could come to the Father but by him personally. This is the truth within the realm of God’s perfect love and governance. Obviously no one in Jesus’ day accepted his absoluteness. It was not revealed by flesh and blood, but only by the Spirit. So too is the absoluteness of True Parents revealed, and by the Spirit, the True Parents have bequeathed their absoluteness to Hyung Jin Nim and Yeon Ah Nim. This is where God’s grace now flows into and through the veins of the church.

But what is the form, or forms, of the church? The present essay has to do with this question, because it is essential to church growth.

Is This a Church?

Before going further, I want to discuss the extent to which a “church” is the proper vehicle for the messianic work of True Parents. It would seem to a casual observer, or a person unfamiliar with Christian theology, that Reverend Moon’s vision and mission for social transformation transcend the traditional category of church. But if we deepen our understanding of what the church is, we come to a different conclusion. In terms of its identity, or being, the church is the body of Christ, which means in the body of True Parents. It also is the bride of Christ. The community of blessed couples stands both as the body and the bride of True Parents. Church, therefore, is the proper word to describe the communion of blessed couples on earth and in heaven. The body of Christ is based in the change of blood lineage, physical rebirth through the sacraments of the Blessing. Human beings are the center of the cosmos, so this is the rebirth of the world in totality, from the seed. This is indeed the body of Christ, the body of True Parents, and this is the theological meaning of the word, church.

In terms of its mission, or doing, the church is the assembly or gathering of people in which the Word is spoken and the sacraments given. For us, teaching and blessing does transcend the membership lists of the Unification Church, as the Word of True Parents is spoken and Blessing given to people who are not asked to be and do not consider themselves members of the Unification Church. I believe that such is not normative. In any case, the saving work—the giving of the Word and Blessing—is carried out by members of the church with the authority of the church.

For two thousands years, Christians have dealt with the “is – is not” nature of the church: it is the kingdom, it is not the kingdom. Obviously the church on earth is not free of sin and corruption, but it fights these infections through the medicine of the sacraments and faith and deeds of believers. The Blessing empowers us to form families engrafted to the seed of God. As the Christian church is a global family of spiritually reborn children, the Unification Church is the global family of physically reborn children. The Christian church is the conditional kingdom on earth, and the Unification Church is the same but with new conditions in place. The kingdom is the lineage of the True Parents expanded. Why is this identical with the institution of the Unification Church? Because that lineage is built on the Word and Blessing, and that is what the
Unification Church is and all that the Unification Church is. When the Word and Blessing are fulfilled, the Unification Church will indeed no longer exist as an institution, for it will be coterminous with the human race on earth and in heaven.

I expect that each reader is going to fill in the blanks with regard to “the church,” but I ask you to resist that impulse. The purpose of this work is to discuss the growth of the Unification Church and, from that perspective, discuss its form. But before getting to that, we need to consider the identity of the church’s founder.

**Reverend Moon’s Personal Calling**

Let us consider Reverend Moon’s ministry from the perspective of his personal call. A leader’s authority originates with their call. Therefore, religions consider the source of their Founder’s call to be their source of authority and a serious matter. The Old Testament patriarchs and prophets enjoyed calls from God and from angels. Jesus referred to the Father as the source of his call. He told his questioners, “If you knew my Father, you would know who I am.” Muhammad and Joseph Smith referred to calls from angels (plus Elijah and Jesus’ disciples in Smith’s case). The visitation from Gabriel was Muhammad’s “night of power.” Mormon hagiography prominently features Smith on his knees with angels and holy men speaking to him.

Reverend Moon’s authority originates with Jesus Christ. And what was the content of the call? It was to pick up the entirety of Jesus’ cross, the entirety of his messianic mission, and take it to a successful conclusion. It was for this young man to serve God as only Jesus did, which tradition calls the Second Coming. Of the encounter, Reverend Moon wrote, “His sorrowful expression was etched into my heart as if it had been branded there, and I could not think of anything else. From that day on, I immersed myself completely in the Word of God. …I gradually became a boy of few words.”

Reverend Moon’s path and his teaching unfolded from that personal encounter and sustained personal relationship. This is not a work of theology, so I will but mention some implications of that event taken at face value. For example, the Second Coming is not in the clouds but on earth. In addition, there is more to the messianic mission remaining to be accomplished. If it is more, then it is beyond the cross. If it is on earth, then it is by a physical man. Whether that man is born sinless or perfected by his suffering (Heb. 5:7-9), he will be someone called and led by Jesus. The teenage boy was well aware that he was not Jesus, but a personification of Jesus’ mission.

A physical Messiah will allow God to resume a fullness of presence in the world. What could possibly remain to be accomplished? The answer is simple: the salvation of marriage and family life, for Jesus never married or had a family. The idea that the Messiah will return to have
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6 Reverend Moon, rejecting belief in reincarnation, understands this as analogous to Jesus’ identification of John the Baptist as Elijah, a different man with the same mission. Jesus recognized that two persons can share missions with such intensity that it is as if the first returned in the second. Hence he stated, John the Baptist is Elijah. No one else did so—although the chief priests queried about it. John denied it.
children changes everything about how one reads the Bible. It brings marriage and lineage to the forefront. Passages such as Jesus statement that human beings have the devil as their father (John 8:44) loom larger. John’s differentiation of being “born of God” from being born of “natural descent, …of human decision or a husband’s will” looms larger. Jesus gave believers the right to become children of God (John 1:12) but explained that he was speaking of spiritual birth, not physical. (John 3:5-8) The physical return must be for God’s dominion over physical birth. These matters took the young Moon to the root of Christian faith, with Jesus—not Peter, not Paul, not Augustine, not an angel—guiding his prayer, study and path of life.

Its foundation in the work of Jesus Christ positions the Unification Church as Bible-based, with Reverend Moon under the personal mandate of Jesus and the Holy Spirit. “You will not see me again,” prophesied Jesus, “until you say, ‘Blessed is he who comes in the name of the Lord.’” (Mt 23:39) Throughout the 70s in America, Reverend Moon included in his public speeches the exhortation to his Christian audience to ask Jesus directly whether his words were true. On a May morning this year, Reverend Moon, at age 90, stated, “I’m working to fulfill the wishes of Jesus; that’s why I’m doing my mission in the world.”

The Unification Church Message

There is no way that “Sun Myung Moon and Hak Ja Han are Messiah and True Parents” cannot be the Unificationist message. If it is true, how can it not be the message? For what reason could we put it way down on the list? True Father proclaims it, constantly. Everyone knows we believe it, or can find out with a few mouse clicks. Acceptance of this message is in fact the motivating faith of the members, the glue of our community. The Divine Principle theology teaches it. The church polity presupposes it. Salvation in True Parents is not a matter of ethics. Christians have exemplary ethics, as do believers in all faiths. It is not even a matter of heart, in which people of all faiths excel. Of course Unificationists must be ethical and must have deep hearts of excellence and purity, but that is not what the church is here on earth for. It is here for salvation, for the Word made flesh in the man-woman relationship that gives rise to godly lineage. (Mal 2:15, 1 John 3:9)

By Jim Collins’s research, companies that move from “good to great” are those that have a relentless, laser-like focus on one simple “hedgehog concept” about which the company is passionate, is the best in the world at, and that can generate resources. True Parents are what Unificationists are passionate about it (or should be, if we really believe he is the messiah), are best in the world at it (or should be, we’ve been following our whole lives), and can drive the resource engine with (the gold coins are in the mouths of the fish). This of course does not abrogate our responsibility to be wise, gentle and loving in our proclamation. Wisdom and care in ministering True Parents’ love to a suffering world is what this entire essay is about. I’m just saying that our good works must be grounded in a core message, that message must be person-centric, and that message is not peace, is not family values, is not science and religion, it is True Parents, Rev. and Mrs. Sun Myung Moon.

---

Witnessing to the Message Brings the Holy Spirit

At the conclusion of a ceremony in November 2000, *Dae Mo Nim*, the senior leader of the Heaven and Earth Training Center at Cheong Pyeong Lake, who had liberated the heavenly spirit world to work on earth with us, said, “Okay, now you have to get actual results.” She said we could get actual results, engaging the spirits, by being sincere and honest and loving people despite their sin.

Every time Reverend Moon declares that our ancestors are free to come and work with us, as he did once again on October 8, 2001, the proviso is that what is necessary finally to bring restored spirits to the earth is for members to carry out evangelism. What both were saying was that the Holy Spirit comes when we witness to God and True Parents.

The church began in 33 AD with an onrush of the Holy Spirit. Whether or not the number 3,000 recorded in Acts 2 is accurate, it is reasonable to conclude that a powerful event happened that transformed the Jerusalem mob that killed Jesus in April into a congregation of believers in June—and it wasn’t a revision of Roman imperial policy or a change of heart in the Sanhedrin. What triggered that onrush was the congregation of disciples taking ownership of the outrageous Gospel message of Jesus’ death and resurrection, proclaiming it openly, allowing the Spirit to come, and assimilating those affected through baptism and house-based community life. No Holy Spirit, no church. Acts 8:14-17 tells us that new Christians had received baptism in the name of Jesus but did not receive the Holy Spirit until the disciples laid hands on them. The Word, the sacrament and the human touch—this is the church.

Rev. Sun Myung Moon began his movement as a Holy Spirit Association. Unificationists once prayed extensively, shouting in unison, desperate, fervent and emotional. We voiced our faith with tears, created new music and roamed the countryside on missions for God. If we are wise, humble and fervent, we will again be able to provide the Holy Spirit a place to build the family of True Parents believers upon the earth. I note that part of the foundation for the Messiah is a social context conducive to his coming. What kind of social context is conducive to the Holy Spirit? It is a social context in which the people can get passionate and take ownership of a message just as outrageous as the one shouted out by Peter in Jerusalem.

The first half of our church’s name is the Holy Spirit Association. An association is a loose-knit assembly of like-minded people or communities, and that’s what Reverend Moon called his followers, an association guided by the Holy Spirit. If the Holy Spirit is real and if she is behind this man and his message, she will work again today in America, as she did many times in many places to build the Unification global family.

From Street to Society

As did many of us, I joined in the Oakland Unification center that grew from 3 people in 1971 to several hundred within the decade, in the process sending out thousands of missionaries. That was
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9 From the author’s personal notes.

10 “the foundation for the Messiah also requires a social environment conducive to his coming.” *Exposition of the Divine Principle*, Foundation 3.3, p. 220.
in California, but such growth was not limited to the West Coast. When I moved to Durham, New Hampshire, in 1973, our group numbering seven in May grew to 40 by that August. Twenty-one of the 40 were committed enough to move into the center. Dr. Martin Porter testifies that his traveling missionary team of 40 missionaries brought, in six-week crusades in 1974, hundreds of new members in every state they visited.

The Unification Church exploded with growth in the 1970s, when it was sending out young Americans, 21-25 years old, to pioneer churches or on international teams roaming the streets with a compelling message of personal salvation. There was a rigorous spiritual discipline with few rules. To join, youth adopted conservative fashions. The renunciation of secular habits both legal and illegal was evidenced by young men shorn of long hair and beards. We sustained a lifestyle of witnessing, teaching and fundraising. As a convert in California in 1973, I drove across the country to help establish a new center in New Hampshire. We had a big New England house and I was the seventh person to join the group. The “pastor,” Mike, was 21. At 24, I was the second oldest of the group. At four months in the church, I was the third most senior member.

Out of control? Yes, we were out of control, but it was for God. When I arrived, the group was on the sixth day of a seven-day fast. They were not ordered to do the fast; there was no sense that anyone was watching or expecting reports. We were saved, we had True Parents, and we wanted to save others. A week later, I brought in a 21-year old woman named Julie. A week after that, she picked up a hitchhiking college friend, Peter, who joined upon his arrival at the center. I encountered him as I came home late in the day, sitting cross-legged on the floor with a beatific smile, saying, “I don’t know what this is, but I want to be part of it.” He was on the street fundraising with us the following day. Two months later Julie, full of zeal, was appointed the church leader for the state of Mississippi. This is how—and why—we grew in the early to mid-70s. It was in our hands. We were in touch with the dynamics that drive American youth to fast continually, pray all night, witness and teach all day, take endless cold water showers in repentance—guided by no human master, but by the spirit and truth. What we were was a populist church.

This Unificationist growth rate, accomplished with such a social context conducive to receiving the Messiah, equals or surpasses that of any church start-up you can name. Knowing this was only the first step, True Father wisely shifted strategy in the late 1970s from a youth movement to a family church. Instead of street and campus witnessing, they began to create home churches in neighborhoods. This was to begin in a mission field and be fulfilled back in the member’s own nation. “Once your [mission field] home church is completed,” Reverend Moon said, “…you will go to your home town and form your … home church centering on your relatives and family. Then you shall be truly elevated as messiah. Once you come to that point you will not have to do the difficult work of MFT [street fundraising] or witnessing because you will have graduated from all that.”

This is to follow the pattern of most successful new churches, which begin on the streets and gradually develop settled church communities. We did not succeed in this at that time. That does not mean that we cannot succeed today, if we return to the populist approach.

---

God Predestined the Unification Church To Grow

The *Divine Principle* prophesies that popular acclaim for the Second Advent is inevitable if men and women fulfill their portion of responsibility. Although Jesus suffered on earth, his radical movement did actually succeed. Once the disciples were emboldened enough to speak out at the cost of their lives, they turned the Jerusalem mob that had called for Jesus’ crucifixion into a crowd that repented, was baptized in the name of Jesus, and received the Holy Spirit. At that point, the disciples had taken ownership of the message and people started experiencing God. The church, the bride of Christ, was born. God’s predestination is realized by human beings fulfilling their portion of responsibility, which means that we become members of good quality and develop large families spiritually and physically.

God Predestined Us to Bring Children to True Parents

God is a sociable Being, so to come before God, we are to bring others with us. Our original mind compels us to gather with others in relationships of love and heart. Individuals cannot go to heaven alone; heaven consists of a community of deep heart beginning with one’s family and physical and spiritual children. As we become such people of public-minded spirit, and come to value our True Parents and Divine Principle more deeply everyday, we naturally will introduce new people to the faith. It follows that sincere Unification Church members in community will create a “quantity” church. Church growth writer Aubrey Malphurs put it this way: “Quality churches with rare exceptions will become quantity churches because quality churches are actively involved in fulfilling Christ’s Great Commission, which involves reaching and discipling lost people. This results in numerical growth... Quality churches don’t stay small for very long.”

Authentic Evangelism Supports Interreligious Peace-Building

I argue that church growth through a populist church model is the best way to achieve the Unificationist goal to impact nations and create a global culture of peace.

Reverend Moon’s Example

True Father is the source and model of our interfaith ethos, and he speaks to all people as he speaks to his church. He gives the Word and Blessing.

I argue that church growth through a populist church model is the best way to achieve the Unificationist goal to impact nations and create a global culture of peace.

Reverend Moon’s Example  True Father is the source and model of our interfaith ethos, and he speaks to all people as he speaks to his church. He gives the Word and Blessing. At the founding of the Federation for World Peace, precursor to the Universal Peace Federation, in 1991, he made it clear that his interfaith organization had God at the center: his topic was God and salvation from sin. He concluded, “the very first item on our agenda” for world peace “shall be to invite God into our individual hearts, and those of our family, society, nation and world.” Since then, the presentation of the Unification sacraments, the Holy Wine and Blessing, along with sharing the Word of God have been a common feature of movement-related interfaith programs. This is what is in the vernacular called “doing church.” Thus our work for peace begins with church life.

Practical Purpose  As Rev. Hyung Jin Moon told the Universal Peace Federation gathering in Seoul, 2010, church members’ personal resources—not businesses or endowments—fund the movement’s para-church organizations. From this viewpoint, church growth does not undermine
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interreligious work; it pays for it, staffs it, coordinates it and, most importantly, is the spiritual foundation for a love that includes all races, nations and religions within the vision of one family under God.

The True Parents’ ideals of racial, national and religious peace cannot but be advanced by multitudes of people receiving the Messiah and joining the body in the world. I believe that it is natural that we provide the people who do so an effective path by which to join his church. As the vehicle for the proclamation of True Parents’ messianic work, which is the most important message for humankind to receive today, and to achieve racial, national and interreligious peace, growing the church is vital.

**Historical Reality** Some argue that religion divides people and therefore evangelism contradicts the effort for peace represented by interfaith and ecumenism. I submit that in fact, Christian evangelism has been a greater contributor to world peace than have the world’s ecumenical and interfaith projects. The world’s first such project, the Parliament of the World Religions that took place in Chicago in 1893, was an evangelical Protestant project. The organizers made clear in their addresses to the gathered Muslims, Buddhists, Hindus and others that their mission and mandate for the Parliament was from Jesus Christ. Here I am going to defend and stand upon the Divine Principle statements that Christianity is the one cultural sphere that will bring together all religions through love.

Despite the emphasis upon acting locally, America’s growing churches are not only self-sustaining and wealth-producing but they have a positive global impact. Consider the changed political environment in America in the last generation. The nation is shifting toward the values regnant in the growing churches, for marriage and family, accountability in the community, faith-based solutions, ecological consciousness and local ownership. Consider Willow Creek’s impact in Germany (as but one instance), where, as of 1997, 30,000 Christian leaders had participated in Willow Creek Association conferences. Willow Creek is influential with Christians of all nations, in particular Korea. Look at the striking appearance of millions upon millions of American flags after 9/11, and the emergence of what was called our new national anthem, “God Bless America.” The “Religious Right” revived the Republican Party and swept Mr. Reagan into the White House. And, oh yes, we won the Cold War.

I am not under the impression that Christianity is flawless and uniformly beneficial. But I believe that it is the single greatest social force advancing education, human rights, the family, ecological advancement, democracy and the rule of law, health and sanitation, relief, and scientific and technological progress that there is on the planet.

Let us look back at a quintessential expression of populist faith, Pentecostalism. This form of Christianity emerged in Los Angeles in 1905. It is now the fastest growing form of Christianity, especially throughout in the developing world. Pentecostal churches are sprouting throughout
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14 Richard Nyberg, “Willow Creek’s Methods Gain German Following,” *Christianity Today*, April 26, 1999

15 I would add, by the way, that all religions in America find success through the methods described in this paper and that the “God Bless America” enthusiasm was multi-religious, while Christian at the core.

16 For example, research presented at the Council on Foreign Relations demonstrates that Protestantism, usually of the Pentecostal variety, is an avenue of economic advancement in Central America, whereas Catholicism is not.
Asia, Africa, South America, China, Russia and even the Islamic world. Pentecostals today do not confine their attention to worship, but invest increasingly in education, ameliorating poverty, disaster relief, counseling for addiction, divorce and depression, care for abused women and children, medical services, economic development, the arts and public policy change. Pentecostalism is totally flat and focused on the experience of God.

Where on earth did it come from? Los Angeles. Back in 1905, Christians from the world over heard about goings-on in a house on Asuza Street, came to see for themselves, caught the Spirit, and took it back home to adapt it to their own setting. It was like the inexorable expansion of water, the softest material, which turns to ice and breaks boulders. These leaders’ emphasis is local activities, local heroes, local success. Who created the laptop computer? No one person created it; it was the result of thousands of creators, few of whom had any idea that what they were doing was going to be essential for the laptop. If the religions of the world are going to be unified through a single-source, it will be because that source empowers a new level of godly leadership and releases it to head off into the cracks and crevices of the world, where it will expand to break down the walls.

We need to complete these Christian achievements, and the achievements of all faith traditions, and bring humankind into one family under God. Reverend Hyung Jin Moon and Rev. In Jin Moon have clarified that the Unification Church is not a traditional Christian church, although it stands on the biblical and historical foundation of Judaism and Christianity. The Divine Principle prophesies that a new message is to emerge out of the Christian world. It will fulfill Isaiah’s prophecy that God will “restore the kingdom to Israel” and build “the house of prayer for all nations.” The land will be married (Is. 62:4) and the nation “will be called by a new name that the mouth of the Lord will bestow.” (Is. 62:2; 66:20) Hence the core ministry of True Parents is to create a new nation encompassing heaven and earth through the salvation of marriage and family.

Clear Differentiation To abet the church fulfilling its mission, we must distinguish its nature and mission from that of economic, interfaith, community and service organizations. When the church is empowered to be the church, then our interfaith peace activities will also be empowered. The church’s authority is unique and when it is firm, para-church organizations will be able to define their mission distinctively from that of the church.

An ecumenical organization is not a church. Salvation is through the church and its Blessing. The church is the vehicle of the Blessing. The root of the church’s very existence, very purpose for being, is salvation through the Word and the Blessing, conveyed by hands and hearts of love.
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allow those millions, and millions more, beginning with ourselves, to realize the value of the Blessing and take complete responsibility for it. The best place to do that is the populist church.

In the final chapter, I will make a case that the populist approach to church life is a God-given vehicle for interreligious peacebuilding. But first we need to discuss the values that make for church growth and the nature of the populist approach and its applicability in the Unification context.
II. Values, Not Numbers

It is true that mainstream Christianity is declining in numbers and vitality. But it is equally true that other sectors of Christianity are expanding rapidly and with great creative energy. For example, in 1970 the United States boasted 10 “mega-churches” with over 2,000 members. By 1995 there were 300 mega-churches, with a combined membership of 1 million. By 2002, USA Today reported that there were 700 such churches, with a combined weekly attendance of some 3 million.¹⁸ Hartford Seminary reports that in 2009 America had 1,384 mega-churches, so the trend is continuing strongly. The blessed are getting more blessing, and from those who don’t have much, even what they have is being taken away.

Which churches are growing? Conventional wisdom has it that the conservative, evangelical, non-denominational, independent churches are growing fastest and the Hartford study bears this out. I did a rough count, and of their list of 1,384 mega-churches, 464 are non-denominational, 371 are Baptist of some sort, about 200 identify as Pentecostal and 55 are Calvary Chapels. The largest mainstream group is the Methodists, with 70. There are 55 Christian churches, 51 Presbyterian, 26 Lutheran, 5 Anglican or Episcopal and 1 Roman Catholic. Thus 80% of the mega-churches, about 1,110 out of 1,384, are from outside the old mainstream.¹⁹ It is safe to say that a very large percentage of the old mainstream Protestant congregations on the list have adopted contemporary church styles and strategies, such as the Lutheran “Community of Joy” in Phoenix and SpiritGarage in Minneapolis, and numerous contemporary style Methodist congregations.

To explore church growth in American today, let us examine some case studies. Let us consider two Christian movements that emerged in southern California around 1970, the Vineyard Fellowship and Calvary Chapel. There were about 600 Vineyard churches, with 406 in America, and 700 Calvary chapels in 1996, with 614 in America, giving them approximately 120,000 attendance (Vineyard) and 140,000 attendance (Calvary) at a typical Sunday service (in 1992),²⁰ in America. Between them they had over a quarter million in attendance in 1992. In a 2009 phone interview, the Vineyard database coordinator, Pam Trautman, informed me that currently there are 1,500 Vineyard churches worldwide, with 575 in the United States—up from 406, and 130,000 members in the US, which would indicate 400,000 to 500,000 members worldwide. So the Vineyard movement grew by 45% between 1996 and 2009. It is possible to grow churches in America. People do it.

We can look at three churches in the Minneapolis area for a micro example of the mega-trends among US churches. Each has a graduate of Luther Seminary as senior pastor. One, Spirit of Christ Community, is a traditional congregation. It represents the merger of two small churches, and has “more than 100” people in attendance each Sunday. It is growing at a rate of 15 percent in two years. A second, called Mercy Seat, presents “a radical, grace-based, Trinitarian-theology-of-the-cross message rather than attempts to be ‘relevant’.” They have about 100 a week in attendance. The third, Jacob’s Well, is formed down the street from another Lutheran church but

¹⁸ Gary L. McIntosh, Three Generations: Riding the Waves of Change in Your Church, p. 17; USA Today, September 23, 2002, p. 2A.
¹⁹ Hartford Seminary research, at http://hirr.hartsem.edu/cgi-bin/mega/db.pl?db=default&uid=default&view_records=1&ID=*&sb=2


The matter goes to the spiritual values and the heart that underlie the impulse to witness one’s faith.
“was originally created to serve those not reached” by the other, traditional congregation. Pastor Greg Meyer combines “Lutheran core theology, without a lot of the traditional practices of Lutheran congregations” that makes Jacob’s Well “look a lot different” including “the lack of a formal liturgy, the non-traditional building, the music, the nature of the sermons, the use of multimedia, the predominance of young people… and the casual nature of the community.” Meyer strives to reach people “wary of the institutional aspects of traditional church. ‘We want to be the church, not have a church.’” The congregation was founded three and half years ago, September 2006, with 42 people. It averages 220 per Sunday and recently topped 300. How fast is it growing? “Outgrowing current space. Needs to add a second Sunday morning service to increase seating capacity and allow growth, and a second worship site, targeted for March 2010, to better reach the surrounding community.” Jacob’s Well meets in a middle school, shopping center and park bandstand in the summer. The lesson to those who want to grow their church could not be clearer. The traditional church is doing well; the intellectual church is on a plateau, and the contemporary church is bursting at the seams.21

Let’s not talk about numbers. America’s fast-growing churches, in fact, do not focus on numbers. What do they focus on? They want to save people. Unificationists also want to save people. We know that every person on this planet needs to receive True Parents, and that if they do not they will have less than the optimum experience in the next. To express that, we are in the process of building guest-oriented evangelical programs in our local churches, based upon an intelligent concept about witnessing.

But the matter goes deeper than building a program. It goes to the spiritual values and the heart that underlie the impulse to witness one’s faith. This chapter is devoted to exploring these

spiritual values. My main resource is Mark Mittelberg, Director of Evangelism at Willow Creek Community Church. He analyzed the spiritual foundations for church growth enjoyed by his church and came up with seven core values. These are generic spiritual principles involving human relationships and dealing with cultural realities we all face, applicable to any style of church. We will grow as we look at these values and own them on our own terms.  

MITTELBERG’S VALUE #1:  
PEOPLE MATTER TO GOD

The first point is also the most simple. Evangelism begins with knowing in our hearts that each and every person matters to God. Because God loves everyone, we should love them as well. “This belief—that all people matter to God—is the hardest one to fully absorb into our value system,” Mittelberg writes. We say we agree with this value, but we don’t practice it. What we do with every other concept in his book, he says, will depend directly on the degree to which we own and apply this first value, the reality that people matter to God, in the very core of our being.

Rate yourself, says Mittelberg. Look at your calendar and checkbook. They will tell you where you are investing your time and money. Are you investing your time and money trying to reach people outside the family of God? Or are you doing everything but that? One is reminded of Jim Collins’s conclusion in Good to Great, that the “stop doing” list is more important than the “to do” list. In order to spend more time with unchurched people, one needs to spend less time with church people. So healthy churches invest in getting their members out into the community, to rub shoulders with new people.

When you start to rearrange your life, or your church’s life, the priority of saving lost people will be tested immediately. The question naturally arises, whether aloud or below the surface: what is really important to my church? Other values start competing with and crowding out witnessing. The most difficult ones to deal with are the habits of one’s own church, the routines that we associate with godliness, the offerings that we think will get us to heaven. The tasks are endless; they multiply themselves. The problem is, these all happen inside church walls, out of sight and out of mind to the unchurched world. The response of people who have heard of Reverend Moon is, “Is he still alive?” or “I heard he’s very sick.” Back in 1976, I introduced myself to a lot of people in parking lots as “Tyler,” and one gentleman deep in the West Virginia coal country responded, “Tyler Moon?” Rev. Moon was definitely alive back then, because we were out there. When I asked a Jehovah’s Witnesses member this spring why his church is growing, his answer was simple: “We’re out there.”

People matter to God, and so they should matter to us. The Divine Principle is eloquent on this matter. In its General Introduction we read that Unification believers have a truth that “should be able to reveal the Heart of God: His heart of joy at the time of creation; the broken heart He felt when humankind, His children whom He could not abandon, rebelled against Him; and His heart of striving to save them throughout the long course of history.” Cain did not matter to Abel. If Abel had loved, valued and served Cain, would Cain have killed him? Esau mattered to Jacob. Reverend Moon teaches that Jacob spent his 21 years in Haran longing to reunite with Esau. All

---
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people, the poor, the outcast and lame as well as society’s leaders, mattered to Jesus, and they matter to True Parents. For Mittelberg, this awareness that all people matter to God is evangelism value #1.

**MITTELBERG’S VALUE #2:**
**PEOPLE ARE SPIRITUALLY LOST AND GOD IS SUFFERING**

In Luke 19:10, Jesus said his mission was “to seek and to save what was lost.” Lost is not derogatory or an insult; it is just stating a fact about life without God. Jesus was saying that there is a deep chasm between fallen human beings and God, the chasm of sin. The world is not at all as God intended it to be, and government programs, education or medical services cannot fix it. Sin is a radical problem, deep in the root of human existence. In Jacob Dylan’s words, evil is alive and well. Divine Principle is more specific: “there is one social vice that human efforts alone can never eradicate. That is sexual immorality. Christian doctrine regards this as a cardinal sin. What a tragedy that today’s Christian society cannot block this path of ruin.”

Reverend Moon teaches relentlessly that there is a huge chasm between human beings and God, and it has to do with the spiritual dimension of human sexuality, the relationship of man and woman. No matter how “good” people are, if they do not have this resolved, they are caught in the satanic blood lineage, “children of your father the devil” (John 8:44), and will be unable to access God’s deepest love in eternity. No matter what the occasion, this is his message.

To generate energy in the direction of sharing the truth and love that saved one’s life from degradation and despair, one needs to penetrate the superficial appearance that everyone is okay, that people are really doing well, and grasp the insight of Paul when he wrote, “There is not one righteous, not even one; there is no one who understands, no one who seeks God. All have turned away, they have together become worthless; there is no one who does good, not even one.” Divine Principle states that people “have become like refuse, fit to be discarded.”

So the second spiritual value is to be fully aware that people, no matter how ship-shape we appear, are spiritually lost, far away from where God created us to be. Dr. Bruce Wilkinson gives a sterling example. As a result of his “Jabez prayer,” God guided him to approach a well-dressed businesswoman at in an airport terminal and ask her, “What can I do for you?” He persevered through her attempts to brush him off, and then she disclosed that her marriage was on the rocks and she was about to file papers for divorce. Through his counseling in the terminal and on the plane (where God intervened to put their seats together), “she was still hurting, but she was at peace, determined to give her marriage the commitment it deserved.”

We should be sensitive to human pain and, even more, that God is suffering in loneliness. God is in the wilderness with men and women, crying out for His lost children, enduring agony separated from us. Unification evangelism begins with Reverend Moon’s heart of the 1940s to comfort God in the midst of prison. His motivating energy came directly from his contact with

---

25 Ibid. p.5.
26 Rom 3:10-12; EDP ch 6, sec 4.
the Father’s lonely, loving heart, knowing that people are suffering and God is suffering. Mittelberg tells us we need to clear away the curtains that conceal from us the suffering of others and the suffering of God, and to be vulnerable to this aching need in the world. This is evangelism value #2.

**MITTELBERG’S VALUE #3: PEOPLE NEED GOD’S INTERVENTION**

This is another fact that people tend to avoid, but Mittelberg is all over it. Forget relativism, he says, the view that every path is the same, that every religion is okay. Religion rides on the personal and particular. For him, every person needs Jesus. Unificationists agree and add the breaking news that Jesus sent True Parents and that everyone we know needs to receive and own the Blessing. In the words of Divine Principle, “fallen people [need to] restore their heart toward God through God’s life-giving Word, [be] saved both spiritually and physically, and inherit God’s lineage.”

Christians who are growing their churches are dealing with an equally confrontational message. “We have an unpopular message, and we have been commissioned to present it boldly,” says Mittelberg. Unificationists need to own this desperate attitude that is necessary for effective evangelism. Unificationists attribute to True Parents the power of God’s salvation. We proclaim that True Parents are the bridge across the gap separating fallen men and women from God. That Jesus and the Holy Spirit are working on earth for complete salvation through True Parents. There is no doubt about this; it is not one truth among many, one path among many. There are dead ends, and people and cultures do end up in them and need to back up and get on the right path.

From a sociological viewpoint, Hadaway’s research shows that churches whose members are clear about their mission and purpose and have a plan to recruit new members grow, whereas the members of dying churches respond that they are not clear about their church’s mission and purpose and lack such a plan. Moreover, churches with a strong conviction in their faith grow, while churches with a middle-of-the-road theology do not.

In his mud hut, True Father did not design a social movement or self-help society. When people joined, he called them to offer their lives, their schooling, their careers, and their marriages. Through him, God intervened in people’s lives decisively. Religions grow because they offer a radical vision of what it means to be fully human and on that basis empower people to solve real problems in their life and in the world, as well as find inner peace. Growing churches include this life-changing faith experience as normative. In the final accounting, the world needs God’s truth and love incarnate. Because we believe ourselves to be graced to be a messenger of that which every human being needs, that will liberate and release them into full humanity, we can generate the heart to talk to someone about it. This is evangelism value #3.
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MITTELBERG'S VALUE #4:
PEOPLE NEED CULTURAL RELEVANCE

The fourth value has to do with strategy—strategy not for its own sake, but for the sake of expressing heart and love effectively, and clarifying that what we represent is the pure, unadulterated love of God and not our own cultural tastes.

The value that “people need cultural relevance” is based on the perception that if your neighbors recognize they are standing next to the chasm, and if they can see down the gaping hole and they know that there is something wrong with the world and themselves that no religion has solved, then they might be ready to hear the gospel of True Parents. The problem is that secular people do not live close to the chasm of sin. There is an expanding spiritual landscape, and they wander all over the map. Mittelberg addresses this practical reality.

Before getting into it, a proviso: the target audience for growing churches is not people who are actively committed to their own faith. We have para-church organizations to minister to and with members of other faith communities. The target for evangelism is people who are seeking, searching for a new community, who are not satisfied with traditional answers, or just plain uninspired about the religions they encountered. Such folks tend to adopt a secular worldview. Between unchurched people and the truth are walls of secularization: anti- or unreligious narratives, explanations, solutions, entertainment, diversions and values.

These worldviews and their entertainments and benefits are formidable competition to the message of any church, including ours. Contemporary culture rejects many godly values, like sexual purity, marital fidelity, chastity, honoring parents, the sanctity of life and so forth. A few decades ago, a couple could not rent an apartment without a marriage certificate and proof that they attended a local church. We live in a post-Christian age. How do we reach people in this culture? First, we have to come to grips with where the vast majority of people are at. Next we have to determine to make our message understandable. To do, we need to speak in a language the hearer can understand. Listen to Elijah Waters, of “Generation Church,” a campus ministry in Seattle. His sermons are a lot like our Founder’s: earthy, honest, in-your-face and totally from the heart, and his following is in the thousands.

My experience with an activist neo-Buddhist movement illustrates the value of cultural relevance. I encountered the group in 1969, when Japanese women speaking broken English physically pushed me into a car to take me to a lecture. An American gave the lecture, but all the other members I saw were Japanese. After the lecture they gathered around me and pushed me to buy a prayer scroll, which I did. I won’t continue the story except to say that I didn’t join and not many others did either. This particular movement has a negligible presence in America to this day. Why? Because they never adapted to this culture. Pushing people into cars and selling them prayer scrolls does not make it in this country, even in Berkeley.

In the Unification context, too, members in the past associated church growth with standing on the street trying to strike up a conversation. Reverend Moon himself has tried to revise this concept. He told members in the 1980s that church growth will not happen by witnessing on the streets.
If you witness to someone on the street, it has only the significance of that individual. It is a one-to-one relationship that does not go beyond that level.

Do not witness so much in the street because you don’t know anything about the people you meet. You may meet many people in the parks but most of them do not stay and those who do often have many problems. You know that people [with potential] are always busy and don’t hang around parks, while those who have nothing to do go to the park all the time. We want to witness to the best people. We don’t want 1,000 people who can follow a leader; we want one leader who can lead 1,000 people.

So far you have worked very hard and found one thing: even when we work hard the fruit of our witnessing is often dispersed and leaves little benefit in the center. We have done all kinds of pioneering work and witnessing on the streets, but somehow the people who come into the Church do not understand clearly and soon leave again. Then we get some more people and then they leave. The results do not remain here for good.29

In the experience of the growing churches, new members do not come through short-term encounters. To succeed in America, a group from another culture needs to translate its message and practices into forms that Americans can relate with and become part of their world. It’s not just good manners; it’s the only way to accomplish the mission.

Mittelberg writes about a man named Jim, who had a passion to reach people for Christ. The people God called him to reach were not like the normal people of his church, so he decided that he would have to change to fit their culture. He shaved his head, except for a ponytail that he grew. Then he dyed the ponytail. He gave up business attire and dressed like this crowd of people. He ate their food and learned their street vocabulary, read their papers and got to know their points of view. He moved into their neighborhood, although they didn’t seem interested at all in what he had to say.

Jim’s church associates were upset. They maligned Jim for giving up the true gospel, watering it down and changing it just to make it convenient for these strange people who nobody cares about anyway. But today, countless people from those neighborhoods now know and serve Jesus Christ. Jim—or as he’s more widely known, James Hudson Taylor—is the man who more than a century ago built the China Inland Ministry. More than anyone else, he is credited with bringing Protestantism to China.

To put one’s message into the common language is called “contextualization.” In Aubrey Malphurs’s words, “Many older churches reflect the culture that surrounded them some thirty or forty years ago and clearly aren’t in touch with the culture around them now. The result is that the unchurched lost in our present culture see this and reject the biblical beliefs of these churches because they sense that they’re out of touch with reality and what’s taking place in the world. They know a dinosaur when they see one!”30

The Divine Principle is clear about the need for

As offspring of the same parents, all of us have the same feelings of joy, anger, sorrow and pleasure. Yet we cannot share our deepest feelings with one another because we speak different languages.
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contextualization, as it pleads for the establishment of a common language for all people to share their hearts and deepest values. “As offspring of the same parents, all of us have the same feelings of joy, anger, sorrow and pleasure. Yet we cannot share our deepest feelings with one another because we speak different languages. Is not this one of humanity’s greatest misfortunes?”

Contextualization is necessary because secular America is a foreign culture and speaks a different language. Before people can even get a glimpse of our theological message, we need to cross the “culture chasm.” Culture is spiritually neutral but separates people from the Blessing. How do we cross the culture chasm?

In the words of Bill Hybels, Senior Pastor of the Willow Creek Community Church, this means to “crack the cultural code.” Mittelberg discusses language (make what you say easy to understand), clothes (wear the same styles as your target audience) and music (use the style they like—which probably is one you like as well). For example, when I encountered the Unification community, I heard music with which I could relate. I remember Phillip Schanker singing a Cat Stevens song with an acoustic guitar before the message was given. This went down well with me. If they had had Phillip’s 50-year-old father playing “How Great Thou Art” on a pipe organ, my spiritual mother would have had to tackle me to keep me from leaving. American members in New York chuckled for years over an otherwise esteemed elder from overseas who persisted with the expression, “follow my behind.” Such a small verbal miscue, especially repeated so often, would turn a counsel to humble obedience into a moment of eye-rolling levity.

Mittelberg counsels us to utilize cultural points of reference that are familiar to the audience. We cannot expect new people who do not know or care much about us to cross the culture chasm from their side. We have to cross it from our side. Bridging the chasm of sin is God’s responsibility. Bridging the culture chasm is our responsibility. I will deal with this topic more fully later. This is evangelism value #4.

MITTELBERG’S VALUE #5: PEOPLE NEED COMMUNITY

More and more families are broken. Even intact families spend less and less time together. “The Annenberg Center for the Digital Future at the University of Southern California is reporting this week that 28 percent of Americans it interviewed last year said they have been spending less time with members of their households. That’s nearly triple the 11 percent who said that in 2006.” People are scattered far and wide from their loved ones. People need friends, community and cultural identity. When I visited neighbors in Barrytown in June of 2010, I asked what they would like to see in a local church, and everyone’s first response was, community.

I did not join a book; I joined a community. I joined because of the love and value I felt from the people and from a strange warmth within my heart. This was love from a community plus spirit world, the community on earth and in heaven.
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If the Unification Church is to grow, it must provide meaningful community. Mittelberg states, “Our responsibility is to build churches where true community can flourish.” When I joined, I did not join a book; I joined a community. I joined because of the love and value I felt from the people and from a strange warmth (to borrow John Wesley’s term) within my heart. This was love from a community plus spirit world, the community on earth and in heaven. So we need to build community that welcomes and offers a place at the table for new people. We should note well that the most successful evangelism in America was done where our family organized as the “Creative Community Project.” In a recent survey of members of my church, the provision of community life for one’s self and one’s family was the strongest positive value.

Communities have general characteristics. One, they are local. You see people regularly; you don’t need to make a great effort to get together. Two, the community offers an identity that people want to share. Third, communities provide personal enrichment. Four, communities embody implicit or explicit values, norms and ideals. Five, communities are more about friendship than beliefs. Five, communities are fun. Six, communities, at least healthy communities, are transparent, open and inclusive. They contain windows for people to enter and exit. Churches today are realizing that more than teaching truth, they need to help people make connections and form community. Hence successful churches provide comfortable coffee shops, gathering places and other venues for people to connect. One reason for the success of Starbucks and the thousands of independent coffee houses is that they provide space for community life.

In Taylor Clark’s study of what makes Starbucks attractive to customers, “the interviewees talked very little about the coffee itself, but quite a bit about feelings and atmosphere. …they craved a sense of relaxation, warmth, and luxury, all within the safe coffeehouse social sphere. ‘The coffeehouse, when it’s as good as it gets, is much like a public living room.’” A good coffeehouse is “a quintessential ‘third place’” between home and work. Churches are advised to pay heed: growing churches, seeking to become that third place, often install comfortable coffeehouse environments. In January, 2010, Jason Mitchem, author of Revivify: Restoring Failed Leaders, disclosed to the author his team’s strategy to plant a new church in Augusta, Georgia. They are going to conduct Bible studies in coffeehouses, six evenings a week. Patrons who are interested in the subject are welcome to sit in. Some will be invited to join their celebration worship on Sunday morning. Community is evangelism value #5.

**MITTELBERG’S VALUE #6: PEOPLE NEED ANSWERS**

People are dummies. My evidence? The enormous success of the “How To…” books “for dummies.” The last time I checked there were 75 and counting. The success of this series is due to the fact that the books respect people enough to address their questions with straight, simple answers. There are thousands of evangelical books that make the Gospel clear and simple. Unificationists need to do the same with their core text, the Divine Principle. The Divine Principle not only has to be declared, but it has to be defined, defended and dumbed-down.

We have to remove the intellectual roadblocks. People are programmed in school to question everything, especially God and traditional values. So we have to learn what the questions are and

---

how to answer them. Even with the finest theology, if one doesn’t know how to use it to answer people’s questions, it is of no value. The great American evangelist, Charles Finney, got his start in the small towns of upstate New York, where people said that “he doesn’t preach; he explains what the other fellows are preaching about.” So we need to slow down and get into the listener’s shoes. To love the enemy, we need to know their questions and respect them. Everyone has simple, basic questions, such as “Does God really exist?” “Why is there so much evil in the world?” and “What is the purpose of my life?” The Divine Principle has answers, including to the biggest one of all: “How can I be happy?” These are the same questions that drove the teenage Sun Myung Moon to God and Jesus. His ministry is one of answering these questions.

The most successful Unification evangelism in America proves the point. The introductory presentations were simple to a fault, laced with humor and common sense. One great virtue was that they gave the listener nothing with which they could possibly disagree. They called it “conscientious common sense,” and it talked about the truth being something that holds at all times, in all places, in all cultures and is practical and useful. It talked about human responsibility and freedom being the reason that God could both be loving and suffer the existence of evil. It resolved profound theological dilemmas that block people from faith in God. They made it very simple and returned constantly to the issue of individual responsibility. Then they opened people up for prayer and people met God. This is evangelism value #6.

**MITTELBERG’S VALUE #7: PEOPLE NEED TIME**

Mittelberg’s culminating value is a simple one: effective evangelism allows people to move ahead at their own pace. Today’s society is far more structured and confined than the America of the 70s. He writes, “Pressing people to take steps for which they’re not yet ready will backfire. In some cases it can even short-circuit the whole process.” Conversion of one’s life is a process. It is not accomplished through one event, but rather by deepening trust and understanding over time. During this time of patient support, the church community is desperate in prayer and fasting. Churches do prayer walks, fasting, vigils, counseling, outreach, service, Bible study—spiritual disciplines. Unificationists in our periods of growth did the same. The world is saved by ideal families, not idle families.

I recall the testimony of a young man who joined in New York City in the mid-70s. His spiritual mother one evening brought him seven rice balls, and encouraged him to enjoy them. He was a student living in a apartment in the city by himself and he enjoyed the delicious meal. When he finished, she informed him that those seven rice balls represented a seven-day fast she had just completed for him. The emotional love this ministry inspired in him brought him to True Parents.

The Holy Spirit is a feminine presence that gives rebirth. I want to suggest that we take a cue from the advent of the age of women, the age of the heifer, or age of the wife. The Divine Principle explains this in terms of the biblical story of Samson. Samson could not be defeated by masculine means. He was defeated by the soft, feminine voice of his wife. The way to bring a positive outcome is through the Holy Spirit, utilizing the populist style.

This is evangelism value #7.
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Conclusion

Willow Creek outlines a seven-step path for members to trace as they walk the way of heart with newfound friends who might like to become part of their church community. The first step is to build a personal relationship of trust. This can take months and years in itself. Once that trust and personal rapport is established, the second step is to share a verbal witness. Willow provides seminars for members to help them overcome their shyness about this and develop skills to help people turn a horizontal relationship in a vertical direction. If the friend responds positively to the verbal witness, the third step is to bring them to a seeker event, a program guaranteed to be designed with the new friend in mind who doesn’t know anything about the church or its teachings. This leads to (4) a process of education, step by step, and ultimately acceptance of Christ and a joyful, public celebration of baptism. The next steps bring the person into a deeper walk with God, entailing (5) joining a small group, (6) discovering one’s personal gifts for ministry and finding a way to apply them by doing ministry, and (7) supporting God’s work in His church through tithing.

Generating a world-transforming movement, the Unification Church owns these core values. It is activating, inculcating and rewarding the spiritual values that all people matter to God, are lost without Him and need His intervention in their lives in a language they can understand, in a supportive community that gives them the time and respect they need to make up their own mind. These are apparent in our True Parents’ ministry and should be in ours.
III. The Populist Model

We see that to grow, churches need to inculcate a strong conviction that my church is here to save people, which implies that people who are not in my church are not saved and need me to save them. Some people take that to be a militant stance that leads to warfare among faith communities and point to the history of war among religions—which continues among some religions even today. I’d rather look at a more positive scenario, that of the religiously plural American society that enjoys a separation of church and state and, on that basis, freedom of religion. The Divine Principle views freedom of religion as the *sine qua non* distinguishing the Abel-type society from others. Religions in a free market of faiths succeed by competing with their peers to win people’s hearts and souls. What is wrong with the makers of Jones’s pickle relish believing that theirs is the best, better than Smith’s pickle relish? As long as the market is refereed impartially, the public benefits by constant improvements in relish as Jones and Smith compete to excel. The public also benefits when churches compete. That is why it is in such societies that religion is popular and the largest percentage of the people believe in God. Let’s review how religions grow in an open society.

**Historical Background**

American religion has grown by populist principles and practices from the colonial times. I want to highlight the work of two scholars of Protestant church growth in America, Nathan Hatch on the late 18th and early 19th centuries, and Donald Miller on the late 20th century. By comparing the two, we see that not much has changed in the spiritual dynamics of American culture over the span of two hundred years. Two hundred years ago, successful churches tapped into the spiritual and social dynamics imbedded deeply within American culture. When they did so, revival came. Contemporary church growth is tapping into the same dynamics.

Nathan Hatch called this the “democratization of Christianity in a popular culture.” With the American Revolution, he writes, “turmoil swirled around the crucial issues of authority, organization, and leadership. …Respect for authority, tradition, station, and education eroded. …To be an American citizen was by definition to be a republican, the inheritor of a revolutionary legacy in a world ruled by aristocrats and kings. …This left an indelible imprint upon the structures of American Christianity.” British historian Paul Johnson calls this “the specifically American form of Christianity—undogmatic, moralistic rather than creedal, tolerant but strong, and all-pervasive of society.”

“Icreasingly assertive common people wanted their leaders unpretentious, their doctrines self-evident and down-to-earth, their music lively and singable, and their churches in local hands.” (Nathan Hatch)

The churches had to relate to the American character and culture, symbolized by slogans such as “no taxation without representation” and “government of the people, by the people, for the people;” a culture in which leaders are “public servants.” This is, in fact, part and parcel of the American ideology. Hatch cites 19th century French visitor, Anthony Trollope, who in 1863 wrote of the Americans. “They are willing to have religion, as they are willing to have laws; but they
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choose to make it for themselves. They do not object to paying for it, but they like to have the handling of the article for which they pay.” And what sort of religion did they make for themselves? They “wanted their leaders unpretentious, their doctrines self-evident and down-to-earth, their music lively and singable, and their churches in local hands.” Hatch goes on to state, “The rise of evangelical Christianity in the early republic is, in some measure, a story of the success of common people in shaping the culture after their own priorities.”37 As owners of their faith, naturally they “threw themselves into expanding its influence.” By this energy and ownership, America enjoyed an “explosive combination of evangelical fervor and popular sovereignty,” and this combination has sustained religious expansion in America ever since.

Johnson perceives “an ecumenical and American type of religious devotion which affected all groups, and gave a distinctive American flavor to a wide range of denominations.” He sums them up under five heads:

- Evangelical vigor
- A tendency to downgrade the clergy
- Little stress on liturgical correctness
- Even less on parish boundaries, and above all
- An emphasis on individual experience.38

The term “democratization” must be explained, because it is a hot-button word for Unificationists. What it refers to, in Hatch’s analysis, is the recognition of three points:

- The religious authenticity of each person’s experience
- The allowance for common people to define their own faith, and
- The use of Christianity as a force for liberation and popular sovereignty

For better or worse, the age of the authority of the common man and woman dawned and religion changed forever. One is reminded of the buildings in Manhattan that display not saints, scholars or political heroes, but mechanics, draftsmen, carpenters and farmers. Rockefeller Center’s Fifth Avenue artwork celebrates in bronze the production of basic commodities—wheat, wool, cotton, sugar, molasses, tobacco and so forth. This, not the generals, emperors and philosophers, is what is enshrined in American architecture. Hence the American “tendency to downgrade the clergy,” pointed out by Johnson (an English scholar). Consider Joel Osteen, Senior Pastor of America’s largest congregation, Lakewood Church in Houston, Texas. He is famous, fashionable and fantastically wealthy, but what are Joel’s sermons about? He talks about his friends whose batteries die, who lose their jobs, whose parents are struggling with depression or illness, whose marriage is on the rocks, whose boss is a tyrant, who can’t figure out how to get the remote to work. He eulogizes his father, who had a small church and a large family. Joel is someone like me, the listener feels, who succeeded and wants me to succeed. Tens of thousands identify with him and participate in his church.

The Americans enjoyed an abundance of space and it was impossible to police the frontier. The easiest social organization for the pioneers to take west with them was their church. Churches were the primary agents of social organization on the American frontier and ultimately for the
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37 Hatch, op. cit., p. 9.
nation as a whole. This was abetted by the separation of church and state and what Hatch terms “a climate of withering ecclesiastical establishments.” Therefore, the people were free to organize their lives through their churches and religious associations. It was the Massachusetts Bay Puritans writ over a million square miles. The common people of their own choosing set up missionary societies, Bible societies, women’s benevolent associations, the Sunday school movement, reform movements, rooted in the experience of the Holy coming into their farm, their village, their church and making Himself known in their language.

The result was the explosive growth of the churches. While Christians in Europe were struggling over control and power, America enjoyed an “incredible growth of ‘upstart’ denominations with new styles of church life between 1800 and 1850. The Methodists in 1820 had 250,000 members; they doubled in the next ten years. Baptist membership multiplied by 10 between 1783-1813 as the number of Baptist churches grew from 500 to 2,500. By 1850, the new denominations—Baptist, Methodist, Christian and African American churches—constituted 2/3 of Protestant ministers and members in the country. In 1775 there were 1,800 ministers in America; in 1845 there were nearly 40,000. A completely new church body, the “Freewill Baptists” had as many ministers as the Episcopalians in the early 19th century. “Antimission Baptist” preachers “far outnumbered” RC priests and Lutheran ministers; the Christians, a new movement created by Alexander Campbell and Barton Stone in the 1820s, had as many preachers as the Presbyterians. The church of the Puritans, Congregationalism, had twice the number of preachers of any other American church in 1775. But it set itself up as a state church in Connecticut and Massachusetts. By 1845 Methodist preachers outnumbered Congregationalists by more than ten to one.

The state churches, by their doctrinal rigor, institutional formalism and insistence on having a thoroughly educated clergy, stifled creativity and responsiveness to the changing environment. Religious entrepreneurs roamed the countryside, inspired by the Spirit, gathering multifarious crowds, paying no attention to parish lines or church traditions.

As we see from the fact that almost all mega-churches are independents, not affiliated with mainstream denominations, these populist dynamics continue today.

---

Contemporary Examples of the Populist Approach

I. The Key Church Strategy

Timothy Ahlen and J. V. Thomas are Baptists who work with two Texas Baptist churches, the Gambrell Street Church (Fort Worth) and the Cliff Temple Baptist Church (Dallas). These churches have adopted the so-called “key church” strategy to cross cultural divides. I will review some of the testimonies about their strategy.40

A member couple, Nancy and Jerry Sayers, started a church by visiting their neighbors in their apartment complex. At first the neighbors rebuffed them, but the Sayers persisted and within a few weeks had 15-20 adults meeting for Bible study in the manager’s office. In a matter of months, the group decided to constitute of itself a congregation and take offerings.

Pastor Ben Lopez began a Hispanic congregation in a complex of duplexes and fourplexes, in an apartment that the owner donated. The 15’x15’ living room was overfull within a few weeks, and Lopez had to run two services every Sunday. The group reached 170 and began to rent space in a local church.

A Spanish-speaking church-planter in a Hispanic community could not attract the local adults. The local parents would only send their children to his Bible classes. But when a local leader got interested and agreed to pastor, then the adults started coming. Over forty adults became regular attenders within three months.

In a white, “country-western lifestyle” area, the “Country Church” was started. The rowdy young, working class community was disinterested in church as usual. Adapting to what this market would bear, the church planters set up a “sanctuary [that] contained tables and chairs instead of pews” with a country-western band. “Addiction recovery and emotional stability” were entry-level discipleship programs, answering the immediate needs of the attenders. They grew a congregation of about 100.

In my Family, Church, Community, Kingdom, I summarized the story of John Shelton, a Cliff Temple youth minister whose youth brought boxes of fruit to an empty lot frequented by the poor and homeless. Within a few months, he created the “church on the lot,” eventually garnering support from the city.41

Another member, Tillie Bergen, started two Bible study groups by asking ladies who came to her for help if she could start one in their apartment. One of the two, led by Virginia Maanani, who had come to Tillie asking for help paying her electric bill (which Tillie paid for her) grew to 60 members. Ahlen and Thomas call such a Bible study group a “single cell church,” and elaborate:

“It became a church in the true sense. These were rough, tough kinds of folks—like the people Jesus preached to—and they weren’t about to come to church. We decided to take the church to them, which is what He did. Virginia Maanani…grew in her faith rapidly,

40 Timothy Ahlen and J. V. Thomas, One Church, Many Congregations: The Key Church Strategy (Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press, 1999), passim.
41 Tyler Hendricks, Family, Church, Community, Kingdom, pp. 103-4
and soon found people coming to her for answers to their spiritual problems. She never asked to be a spiritual leader; it just happened. She seemed to understand her neighbors and the problems they encountered on a daily basis. She could relate to the residents in way that a professional minister never could.”

Members of the Cliff Temple Church planted the above congregations. Using the key church method, this church started 28 congregations in about 5 years. Cliff Temple is one of 300 Southern Baptist churches that have adopted this strategy since 1979. In the 18 years that elapsed until the writing of the book, these churches each average 600 Bible study attendance each week. By 1998, more than 165 Texas Baptist churches adopted the strategy. That represents 2% of Baptist churches in Texas, but those 165 churches account for 36% of new church starts among Texas Baptists. I will discuss the key church strategy further later.

II. The Saddleback Community Church

Rick Warren developed a successful Southern Baptist congregation in a wealthy Los Angeles suburb. He translated the “Bible thumping” Southern Baptist tradition into an expression suitable to “Saddleback Sam” with his “mobile me” life. His is an evangelical congregation of Hollywood executives and Valley Girls.

Warren’s philosophy is based upon the common sense notion that to live for the sake of others, one needs to know where they are coming from. To catch fish, he says, you have to understand them. This knowledge determines your equipment, bait and timing. Analogously, we have to study the ways and tastes of the people whom we are seeking to bring into God’s kingdom. We have to know where they hang out and how they think. Human culture has history, so we need to understand something of the traditions of this world.

Just as there is no “one size fits all” in fishing, one evangelistic style will not work for everyone. Also, different fishers prefer different types of fish and fishing environments. Some prefer cold mountain streams, some rivers, some the surf and some the deep sea. But all fishers agree, we have to go where the fish are biting. A fish that isn’t hungry will not bite your hook.

Learn to think like a fish and reach out in terms they understand. To discover the terms, don’t go into theorizing. Just go out and talk to people.

In his initial door-to-door questionnaire, Pastor Rick asked five questions:

- What do you think is the greatest need in this area? (Icebreaker)
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• Are you actively attending any church? (If yes, he said thank you and moved on.)
• Why do you think most people don’t attend church? (This is less threatening than asking why the person him/herself doesn’t attend.)
• If you were to look for a church to attend, what kind of things would you look for? (In other words, how should I design my program to make it something in which you would be interested?)
• What could I do for you? What advice can you give to a minister who really wants to be helpful to people?

Warren discovered the general reasons that the people in his community were not going to church. The answers are classic complaints against religion. The church is boring, especially the sermon. Church members are unfriendly to visitors. The church is more interested in my money than me. Parents worry about the quality of the childcare the churches offer.

Warren and his small Bible study group sent out a mass mailing inviting the community to attend their inaugural service. With the wisdom of a serpent, the letter promised that the service would be precisely the opposite of what the residents did not like. They would be a friendly group of neighbors offering lively, engaging worship with excellent childcare, and with no pressure to give money.

He called it the “church for the unchurched.” His commitment to break down all barriers and set aside traditions in order to bring in new guests is revealed in the fact that his letter did not mention Jesus or the Bible. Why? Because it would have been culturally jarring. He didn’t use his denomination’s name (Southern Baptist). Warren simply calls it being polite and respecting where people are at. Some church-going Christians who received the letter reacted negatively and accused him of faithlessness.

But Warren and his kitchen group persevered. Their determination was rewarded, as 75 people showed up by mistake at their rehearsal one week prior to the actual first service, and 205 people attended the first service. Within ten weeks, 82 converted, and the Saddleback Community Church was off and running.

**III: Willow Creek Community Church**

Bill Hybels was 19 years old in 1972 when he encountered kids playing rock music in church, and liked what he heard so much that he joined the band. Dave Holmbo, the band’s 20-year old leader, however, saw that Bill was suited more to biblical teaching than rhythm guitar. The band, “Son Company,” had more need of a Bible study than another guitarist.

And Bill did have a gift for connecting to kids his age. He would assign them topics to research in the Bible, and design his teaching in response to the questions of the 80 kids in the band and Bible study. The band practiced on Sundays and the Bible study was mid-week. The music and empowerment they felt from the adult church of which they were a part, an independent church called South Park Church, clicked with the Holy Spirit, and the group jelled and grew by word of mouth among peer-networks. When Bill’s future wife encountered the group, she remembers it as “a page straight out of the book of Acts … a community of love.”
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44 This narrative was derived from Hybels and Hybels, *Rediscovering Church: The Story and Vision of Willow Creek Community Church* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1995), Ch. 1.
God led them to reach out to more of their peers, and they decided to get into evangelism. Before starting, they examined what they were doing and made plans to improve. The group criticized the church basement’s décor and Bill’s long Bible lessons. So they moved to a location nicer than the church basement, and Bill promised to limit his message to one main point, to give new folks “a manageable dose.”

Others said their friends would not be much inspired by singing “Kumbaya” and “Pass It On,” so, in a major move, they combined the rock band with the Bible study. One girl asked if she and her friends could create a skit. Another volunteered to make a slide show with a background of recorded music.

In working through this transition, Hybels recalled his experiences as a youth bringing a friend to his hometown church. He recalled how the church had not helped their unchurched friends at all. Those friends had family problems, or problems with substance abuse, and left the church with nothing more than a reconfirmation that Christianity is irrelevant.

He realized that traditional church is designed for the already convinced, not for new people, whose spirit it kills. To new people, church services “seem grossly abnormal.” They designed their upgraded Wednesday night meetings, which they entitled “Son City,” to penetrate the defenses and skepticism of their unchurched friends. 125 attended the first night.

Kids who became new Christians were funneled into a Sunday night meeting called “Son Village.” Bill started the first Son Village meeting teaching from a book of theology, but within five minutes stopped, apologized, and told them to come back next week to hear something relevant to their lives.

In addition to the arts skills imbued in the local high school, the kids were moved into a life of prayer for their friends. They held their own baptisms in a local park district swimming pool. Reflective of American youth culture, there was no distinction between leaders and followers. Son City would begin with sports to drain enough energy to enable the kids to settle down and listen to a Bible study. During bad weather, they held their Frisbee competitions in the church sanctuary.

The meeting started with an opening jam (“our version of a prelude”) and pop songs with altered lyrics. This was followed by a skit and multi-media slide show on the theme of the message. Then came the message, and then the group divided up into huddles for prayer and talk.

Again, the group was empowered by sharing ownership. All the kids had a role to play, making posters, sets, sound, lighting, photography and slides, cooking, phone calls, music, and so forth. “Core kids were forced to keep growing in order to shepherd the new kids they brought.” As a result of this volunteer spirit and peer affinity, “Hundreds of kids spent nearly every night at church or at a team activity.” And they covered their own expenses.

Once they promoted a special program to which everyone would invite their friends. They did a good job and 300 were in attendance. Hybels read the crucifixion story, explained it, and asked those who wanted to receive Christ to stand up. So many did, and he was so nervous, that he
thought they had misunderstood, and told them to sit down. He repeated it all, and asked again, and all 300 stood up.

At the end of the evening on his way out of the church, Bill broke down in tears, and heard God’s voice. He recalls the main point: “Where would those kids who received Christ tonight be if there hadn’t been a service designed just for them, a safe place where they could come week after week and hear the dangerous, life-transforming message of Christ?” He pledged from that night to “always make sure that our strategy includes a regularly scheduled, high-quality, Spirit-empowered outreach service where irreligious people can come and discover that they matter to You and that Christ died for them.” This is a good definition of the “seeker service.”

“I remember walking into South Park for the first time, into a church [building] that looked like the church I had walked away from years earlier. But the band was playing loud and kids were having a great time. It just floored me. Then I went to a Son City retreat, and everyone I met seemed to care about me. They seemed genuine. That weekend I heard a message about the Gospel and about true discipleship. I was ready to hear it. I said, ‘OK, this is it.’ And I trusted Christ.” This testimony is from one kid who joined the group and later became director of their wilderness camp.

One of Hybels’ Bible college professors, Gilbert Bilezikian, was a visionary believer enamored of the New Testament church. He challenged his class, “What if a true community of God could be established in the 20th century? It would transform this world and usher people into the next.” Bill reacted deeply, concluding, “Every other goal I had considered seemed to pale in comparison to the thought of establishing the Kingdom of God here on earth.”

Hybels had married, and felt it was time to transition from being a youth group to being a church. Maintaining their intense idealism, Lynne Hybels writes, “We dreamed about how to be the church.” After all, if we are going to build the Kingdom, “How...can we really make a difference in the world unless we reach the entire family?”

Son City had reached 1,200. The Hybels, with 100 from Son City who lived in another town, set out to start a full-fledged congregation. They fundraised with baskets of tomatoes to buy equipment. This was 1975 and he was 23 years old. Like Pastor Rick, they started door-to-door asking unchurched why they didn’t go to church and got the same answers as Warren.

The group rented a theatre, which they used as their Sunday worship space for six years. They rented a nearby warehouse for office space, conferences and midweek services. 30 people contributed all the necessary money, each going into debt in the process. The first service took place in October of 1975, with 125 attenders. “The music was loud, the drama was rauous (sometimes crossing the line of acceptability).” Over the winter, most of the initial attenders fell off. People didn’t know what to make of it. Was it a youth group? A church? A performance? In the first winter, sometimes there were more on stage than in the seats. But they persevered and were rewarded with success. The Willow Creek Community Church, named after the theatre, now has some 17,000 members and wields enormous influence educating and training thousands of pastors and lay leaders from churches around the world, through its “Willow Creek Association.”
It is interesting to note that in the mind of the public, the UC of the 1970s was clumped together with such hyper-creative, start-up youth groups. Hybels comment, in fact, that back in 1975, “It was rumored we were backed by the Moonies.”

Now, what about that rock worship music? How did that arise? Living in Berkeley in the early 70s, I met my share of what were called Jesus freaks. These were counter-culture youth who found a “natural high” in Jesus. The nascent Jesus culture didn’t make a huge impression in my community up north, but southern California youth gave Christianity a different reception.

**IV: Calvary Chapel**

One pioneer in southern California, Chuck Smith, was a pastor in the International Church of the Foursquare Gospel, a Pentecostal denomination. Smith grew weary of the church growth programs pushed by his ICFG headquarters, and began to ignore them and do what he did best, teach straight from the Bible. Finding himself constrained by denominational strictures, he accepted a call to pastor the Calvary Chapel in Costa Mesa, California, a church of 25 members, “deeply divided and on the verge of disbanding.” He pulled the congregation together and was led to minister to the youth drifting around the beaches of his area. These were youth of the late-sixties counter-culture movement, in other words, hippies. He opened his home and then his church to them. He allowed them to come in their own garb and hairstyle. He let them play their own music. His sermons were simple expositions of the Bible, which was his gift. The kids filled the church. He had to tear down the walls inside the building, and filled it to overflowing. “Every month or so, the church would double.”

To accommodate the crowds, they bought a parcel of land and set up a tent. The story is worth repeating: “The night before their first service in the tent, Smith and others set up sixteen hundred chairs and planned double services. ‘I looked out at that sea of folding chairs.’ Smith recalled. ‘I had never seen so many folding chairs in all my life!’ He asked an associate: ‘How long do you suppose it will take the Lord to fill this place?’ The associate looked at his watch and answered, ‘I’d say just about eleven hours.’ He was right. The next morning every seat was filled and people stood around the perimeter of the tent—for both services.”

The movement gained national attention with its beach baptisms at Corona del Mar in 1970. Thousands of kids attended and enthusiastically spread their faith throughout the town. “They’re knocking on doors and telling people about Jesus and hugging them. …These kids would just sit down and talk to them about the Lord. They had no pretenses whatsoever.” Some householders called the police. The kids witnessed to the police. It took four pastors two and a half hours to baptize everyone who wanted it. Beach baptisms were held monthly for years, serving with volleyball and hot dogs along with a gospel message and baptism in the Spirit and the water.

Smith’s Calvary Chapel spawned dozens (now hundreds) of daughter churches. The movement is rapidly expanding and includes numerous mega-churches, but the average size of a Calvary Chapel is 138. In a 1997 survey, it was found that 25% of the Calvary Chapels were established since 1995, and 3/4 were less than 12 years old. In 1996, there were 711 Calvary Chapels worldwide.
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Calvary churches multiply through a natural indigenous approach. “Converts who feel a call to the ministry…are sent on their way with prayer and a blessing--but seldom with money.” Church planters have to figure out how to reach the people to whom they feel called to minister. Intuition and common sense, or, in Warren’s thinking, politeness, leads to respect for local people. Desire to avoid burnout leads to delegation of ministry tasks. Each church is separately incorporated and there is no reporting to higher-ups. The pastors of the mother and daughter churches have a mentoring relationship, and the up-line goes no further than one level. I’ll say more about this flat organizational style later.

Church growth is largely a result of word-of-mouth. As the church develops the means to support the pastor, many will market their teaching through audiotapes and books. Some churches give rise to bands that meet commercial success and indirectly serve as a witness to their church and others like them. Smith eschews seminary education, which only teaches people “how to keep their congregations down to a manageable size.”

**V: Hope Chapel**

In 1971, God spoke out loud to Ralph Moore in a restaurant, telling him to start a church in Redondo Beach. He targeted the community youth by setting up a hotline and putting up small signs saying, “Need help?” and providing a number to call. Within a few years he had 2,500 members worshipping in a former bowling alley. Hope Chapel grew out of the same beach culture as Calvary. As sociologist Donald Miller observed, “They seemed to be having fun! Their religion might be filled with commitment, but it was not at the expense of celebration. I didn’t sense, even among the youth, that they were there out of obligation.”

Miller observes that Hope Chapel stays under the Foursquare denominational umbrella, dealing with “archaic rules and bylaws,” and opines that this explains why its growth is slower than Calvary’s.

**VI: Vineyard Fellowship**

The Vineyard was founded in 1974 by Ken Gulliksen and has been led by John Wimber since 1982. Gulliksen was with Calvary Chapel when he started a Bible study group in his house. His testimony is typical of many. “I played guitar and sat on a stool and led some worship and taught the Bible, answered questions in homes, and at the end invited anyone who wanted to receive Christ to come for prayer, which they did in droves.”

Wimber was a professional musician who became a Quaker. He led home groups that became too charismatic for the Friends, and eventually connected with Calvary Chapel. He met success as a church planter, but was more charismatic than suited Calvary’s temperament. At a meeting of several leaders to discuss this, Wimber met Gulliksen. Gulliksen and he clicked and combined their ministries. The Vineyards, that had been part of Calvary, separated. Gradually Wimber became the main leader of the Vineyard Christian Fellowship, taking with him 30 Calvary churches. These churches take a more spirit-filled approach, accepting speaking in tongues and healing. By 1996, some 22 years after its founding, there were 579 Vineyard churches.
worldwide. A glance at their websites reveals that the movement is healthy and growing in 2009.

The growth of local churches like the Baptist key churches, Saddleback, Willow, Calvary Chapel and the Vineyard reflects strategies and values that have always worked in the American religious environment. One measure of a movement’s vitality is its number of new congregations. For example, at the end of his study of these “new paradigm churches,” Miller states that his Episcopal church in southern California is doing reasonably well. In the last thirty years, in fact, it has grown in membership. Then he notes that it had not spun off any daughter churches. This tells the tale. Among Evangelical Protestant congregations, 58% were established after 1990. Among Roman Catholic churches in America, 5% were established after 1990.48

47 Miller, Ibid.
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IV. The Differences Between Populist and Denominational Churches

If a congregation or group within it has decided it wants to grow, the next question is how to go about it. My answer is that we should look at other churches that are growing and figure out how they are doing it. Fortunately, it’s not rocket science. The Divine Principle envisions that Christianity will divide between dying and rising segments, and history is bearing out the truth of this prophecy. 49 I propose that if we want to grow, we should figure out the differences between the two and emulate the churches that are growing.

It is not a mystery as to which churches are dying. Historian Paul Johnson refers to them as the “Seven Sisters”—American Baptist Churches of the USA, the Christian Churches (Disciples of Christ), the Episcopal Church, the Evangelical Lutheran Church of America, the Presbyterian Church, USA, the United Church of Christ and the United Methodist Church. Johnson cites one study that calculated that the Methodists were losing 1,000 members a week for thirty years. The seven denominations “as a whole lost between a fifth and a third of their members in the years 1960-90.” His perception as a historian is that they declined “chiefly because they forfeited their distinguishing features, or indeed any features.

After the Episcopal Church’s General Convention of 1994, marked by a bitter dispute over the right of practicing homosexuals to become or remain clergy, one official observer commented: ‘The Episcopal Church is an institution in free fall. We have nothing to hold onto, no shared belief, no common assumptions, no bottom line, no accepted definition of what an Episcopalian is or believes.” 50 A neighbor of mine, a devout Episcopal lay minister, believes that the church’s stance on homosexuality and abortion will lead God to destroy it. This does not bode well for church growth.

Different outcomes arise from different causes, and church growth has identifiable causes. The growing churches are going about their work differently than the dying churches. They know what is causing their growth. They know the dying churches are in trouble, and they reject their ways. Any church that desires to grow would be foolish to ignore this.

Table 1 sets forth a number of ways in which dying and rising congregations differ.

**TABLE 1: CHARACTERISTICS OF GROWING VERSUS DYING CHURCHES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dying Churches</th>
<th>Growing Churches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of congregation</td>
<td>In existence more than 35 years</td>
<td>Founded in the last 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic mix</td>
<td>Homogeneous Anglo</td>
<td>Multi-racial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

49 E.g., EDP, pp. 4-5, 98-9, 340.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dying churches</th>
<th>Growing churches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender mix</td>
<td>60% or more regularly participating adults are female</td>
<td>60% or more regularly participating adults are male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission clarity</td>
<td>Unclear to the members</td>
<td>Clear to the members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congregational spirit</td>
<td>Feel like a close-knit family</td>
<td>Little sense of being a close-knit family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congregational atmosphere</td>
<td>Not spiritually vital and alive, “we do not encounter God”</td>
<td>Spiritually vital and alive, “we encounter God”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude toward change</td>
<td>Not willing to change to meet new challenges</td>
<td>Very willing to change to meet new challenges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>One worship service each weekend</td>
<td>Three or more worship services each weekend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of media</td>
<td>No local church web site</td>
<td>Have a local church web site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polity and tradition</td>
<td>Mainline Protestant or Catholic style</td>
<td>Evangelical style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Broad, accommodating, middle-of-the-road</td>
<td>Narrow, whether on the right (large majority of cases) or on the left (in other words, if you are going to the left, go all the way and be clear about it)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church politics</td>
<td>Major internal conflict</td>
<td>Little or no internal conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character of worship</td>
<td>Reverent</td>
<td>Joyful, exciting, inspirational, thought-provoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worship music</td>
<td>Drums and percussion seldom if ever used in worship services</td>
<td>Drums and percussion are used in worship services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program target</td>
<td>Few or no programs or events to attract non-members to become members</td>
<td>Regular programs that attract non-members to become members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>No plan for growth, to recruit members</td>
<td>Plan for growth, to recruit members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member support</td>
<td>No support groups such as bereavement, marriage, divorced, wellness, 12-step</td>
<td>Have support groups such as bereavement, marriage, divorced, wellness, 12-step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention to visitors</td>
<td>Do not follow-up with visitors, or do so in only one way</td>
<td>Follow-up with visitors in many ways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical position</td>
<td>Mainstream Protestant, Catholic, Orthodox</td>
<td>Evangelical, “other” Christian</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I leave it to you to peruse the chart and come to your own conclusion about the particular differences between dying and growing churches, and whether your experience in your own congregation fits these general statistics, and why and how to change it. I will simply underline a couple of points.

One, this survey of 14,301 local churches, synagogues, parishes, temples and mosques, shows that members of plateaued and dying churches reported that they were like a “close-knit family” to an extent much greater than members of growing churches, who emphasized factors such as supportive ministry, joyful worship and willingness to make changes.  
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Two, the evangelical and “other” Christian churches are growing, and the liberal mainline Protestant, Catholic and Orthodox denominations are not. Based on that, I want to drill deeper into the differences between these two types of churches, based on my readings and observations.

**TABLE 2: TYPICAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN LIBERAL AND EVANGELICAL CHURCHES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Liberal Mainline</th>
<th>Evangelical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leadership</strong></td>
<td>Seminary graduates</td>
<td>Preachers and organizers educated in the local churches and Bible schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>Always a church building</td>
<td>Often an alternative to the traditional church building, such as a former grocery store, warehouse, theatre, storefront, house, rented space in a public school, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membership</strong></td>
<td>Mandatory, based on infant baptism</td>
<td>Voluntary, based on believer’s baptism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target market</strong></td>
<td>Members by birth, committed to the denomination</td>
<td>People with no commitment to a church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mission</strong></td>
<td>Social causes and traditional practices</td>
<td>To save people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Worship and liturgy</strong></td>
<td>Formulaic, theologically-generated, by the book, liturgical</td>
<td>Innovative, flexible, aspires to move the emotions through praise and worship, contemporary art forms and relevant messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Worship music</strong></td>
<td>Organ, traditional hymns</td>
<td>Electric guitar, bass and drums, praise songs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>View toward other faiths</strong></td>
<td>Ecumenical: God is working through everyone</td>
<td>Evangelical: God is here; we are called to save you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spirituality</strong></td>
<td>Spiritual experiences are not expected</td>
<td>Open to spiritual healing, prophesy, extemporaneous prayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marriage and family</strong></td>
<td>Affirming personal choice</td>
<td>Strict traditional guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governance</strong></td>
<td>Governed by a multi-level national hierarchy</td>
<td>Flat; empowerment of local leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polity</strong></td>
<td>Parish system</td>
<td>Free church—no parish lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Throughout its history as a free society, the American main street has served as an environment for religious innovation. Upstart religious leaders have met the market’s demand for religion that fits their culturally shaped needs and interests. On Sunday mornings, people vote with their feet. The result is the growth of locally governed, populist churches and rapid decline of traditional mainstream denominations.

Donald Miller, a religious scholar at the University of Southern California, writes, “Historians and sociologists of religion widely acknowledge a substantial restructuring among American religious institutions.” The contemporary culture, unlike the post-World War II generation, values
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on-the-ground leadership. “Consider the values of baby boomers,” writes Miller—values, I add, that they have passed on to their offspring:

- They don’t like bureaucratic structure
- ‘Brand’ loyalty has very little meaning
- Tradition is more often a negative than a positive word…
- They want to be involved in running and managing their own organizations
- They tend to be local in their interests

Thus, Miller argues, the new paradigm churches speak to the contemporary culture, and this helps explain their popularity and growth. This is no surprise; denizens of the popular culture created these churches. We have seen that it has been the story of religion in America since the 17th century. The Unification Church with a populist model that gives responsibility with ownership to the members will stimulate vision, creativity and teamwork.

---

V. How to Develop the Populist Model

Flatten the Organization and Focus on Spiritual Experience

Peter Drucker, a leader of contemporary management strategies, wrote “Post-capitalist society has to be decentralized. Its organizations must be able to make fast decisions, based on closeness to performance, closeness to the market, closeness to technology, closeness to the changes in society.” How do traditional churches apply this principle? First, they intentionally decentralize. This means that those with power give it up, and those without power take it on. Wise leadership inculcates leadership skills in the members and gently releases control. Second, the churches restore spiritual life to the members, the life-giving experience of the sacred, transcendent presence of God in their lives and in their community. “If the mainline churches are going to regain their leadership,” Miller writes, “they must do two things that the new paradigm [populist] churches already have mastered: first, they must give the ministry back to the people, which implies creating a much flatter organizational structure; and, second, they must become vehicles for people to access the sacred in profound and life-changing ways.”

Miller with many others believes that it is more effective to start new churches than to renew existing ones. Aubrey Malphurs cites research from the Reformed Theological Seminary in Jackson, MS, that “in just the next few years, 100,000 of the 350,000 churches in America will close their doors. Consequently, church planting will be the future for the American church because it’s far easier to plant a new church than to renew a dying one.”55 I draw this out to remind us of the radical nature of the changes that must take place in stagnant churches if they intend to reach the people they believe God has prepared for them.

Miller’s several years study of new growing church bodies led him to realize four steps to abet a shift to the populist model.

One, “radically decentralize organizational structures,” abandoning central offices and locating themselves in local churches, especially those flagship churches that are demonstrating leadership.”56 By downsizing denominational headquarters, churches cut overhead, reduce bureaucracy, engender quicker response to challenges and opportunities in local settings and, most importantly, put their most valuable resource—their people—on the frontline.

Two, put young leaders in positions of responsibility and allow them to spin-off experimental ministries. I can mention churches such as SpiritGarage, a Lutheran spin-off in Minneapolis, the Community of Joy, another Lutheran church in Arizona, or the Willow Creek Community Church, which grew out of a Dutch Reformed congregation. The Saddleback Community Church could be considered a spin-off Southern Baptist group, as they never identified themselves as Southern Baptist. It is the same story with The Journey Church, a Southern Baptist ministry in mid-Manhattan. Can you imagine a congregation growing in that urban center with the name “Southern Baptist”? The youthful leaders use a name that is comprehensible to their youthful target market. The Vineyard in lower Manhattan calls itself “the
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River.” It meets in a science institute’s space that is available on Sundays, forty stories above Ground Zero, with floor to ceiling glass. It was planted by a young Korean couple.

The populist churches of the early 70s broke the mold by inviting young people with long hair who liked rock music and a lot of nasty things into their churches. They found that with the message of the Gospel, they could get rid of the nasty things, as long as they kept the rock music and let them keep their “hair like Jesus wore it.” To grow, young Christians in America are shaking off the bureaucratic overlays, and the wise headquarters are allowing them to do so. I note, therefore, with approval the adoption of new names for next generation ministries in the Unification community: Two Rivers VIP, iUnificationist, uMove, the Hub, the jUnCtion, Up and Coming, Go (God’s own), ESPN (Extreme Saturday Party Night), Charge, How To Get Everything You Ever Wanted, REAL Relationships, Lasting Imprint and Lovin’ Life Ministries.

Three, empower existing clergy to turn control over to the members. This is most critical; it partners with what is called “gifts-based ministry.” To carry it out, Miller recommends some simple steps. Clergy should abolish at least 80 percent of their committee meetings, thereby freeing up people to join small group home fellowships. Help members discover their own spiritual gifts and apply them in the church setting—thereby reshaping the church. Empower pastoral care, evangelism, and cross-generational bonding in small groups, which are led and organized by laypeople. “Mirroring democratic values, [populist churches] encourage members to initiate new programs and projects, which thus reflect the members’ own needs and interests. Indeed, so long as these programs fit the values of the congregation, enormous latitude is granted in what ministries are started and how they evolve.”

Within the core principles and goals of the faith, let the members themselves shape the local church. This is also, as I discuss in the last chapter, the key to interfaith peace building.

Four, reconsider the process of leadership preparation. Miller states that the mainstream should “radically restructure seminaries, allowing more theological education to be done in the local churches… Seminaries should be professional schools where people are mentored and taught while they serve within a local congregation.” In this context, a seminary should not be isolated from the communities and churches it is preparing people to serve. It should include in-house apprenticeships, intensive workshops and hands-on training programs in its curricula, and always be asking the question: “how would this work in my church?”

American church historian Timothy P. Weber states, “No seminary can effectively educate missional leaders without being missional itself. The commitment to local church ministry will have to permeate all parts of the curriculum, not just the ministry courses. Thus an important question: can the training or orientation of current or future theological faculties support such a missional emphasis?” I note that this is not from an evangelical think tank or rambunctious mega-church, but from the journal of the Association of Theological Schools.
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Current initiatives from Rev. Hyung Jin Moon move in a populist direction. As international president, Rev. Moon is focusing on strengthening the common members’ and visitors’ experience of God. He calls for and personally engages in prayer and praise ministry, home visitations, street witnessing and joy-filled worship services, with multiple services each weekend. He is devoted to improving the quality of church leadership and ministry. When he spoke in Manhattan at the Lovin’ Life Ministries pulpit, he praised Rev. In Jin Moon’s putting ministry in the center. He, with his wife, and Rev. In Jin Moon with her husband and entire family, warmly embrace and speak to visitors, individuals and families during and after church services. They strongly call members to witness their faith boldly with confidence that there are countless people waiting for their message and ministry.

The American church president, Rev. In Jin Moon, is investing significant resources in creating life-giving worship. Worship is, after all, the center of church life but for decades has been an afterthought in the Unification Church. She is also focusing her attention on developing the next generation of ministry leadership. Finally, she is bringing in new leaders who ask the tough questions and bringing the American church to assess its own performance. This begins with gauging members’ confidence and opinions, identifying areas of need, addressing practices that inhibit growth, adopting practices that promote growth, and supporting the spiritual growth of both guests and members. Consistent with populist thinking, the church seeks to “drive continuous improvement in the field” through a “participatory process model” that will “enhance collective thinking around the important objectives of church growth and leadership education.”

Heather Thalheimer, Director of Education, made it clear to a church leadership conference in January, 2010, that growth takes place in the local churches and so that is where our attention is fixed.

Results of Flattening the Organization

Unificationists live and work in the same spiritual marketplace as everyone else. By flattening the organization and focusing on personal spiritual experience, the following kinds of developments are taking place.

**Heaven’s mission and authority are being substantiated in the local church.** Reverend Moon calls this “settlement,” “home church” and “hometown.” All religion is local religion. Members will applaud and learn from success throughout the world as they focus on success in their own location. In the words of Heather Thalheimer, UC Director of Education, “Think Cosmically, Act Locally.”

This means that members will work where they are, reach the people who are nearby and make their faith relevant to them. The church will applaud local achievements that might seem small on the universal scale but nonetheless create value for real people. Speaking of this generation, Gary McIntosh writes, “many prefer to focus their ministry efforts in local arenas, where they feel they have more control and can see the results of their work…Churches can focus on the needs in their immediate neighborhoods and the concerns important to their community” This will move

---


our eyes away from distant horizons, where we will find no new members, and toward our neighbor. Growing churches focus on “religious education for [people’s] children and some kind of religious experience that helps them make sense of their own lives.”

The mission of saving the neighborhood first means faith in the autonomous power of the principle acting everywhere. It is an admission that it is God, not us, who changes hearts and changes the world, and it happens in the quiet, small spaces. America and the world will be influenced from every locality. We all know the “six-degrees of separation” principle. To give two examples local to my setting, a New York City financier hosted a campaign fund-raiser attended by Hillary Clinton, at his home in Barrytown, New York, which is not even on most maps. In Red Hook, New York, my local village, lives a brother of the head of National Public Radio and a top executive with SONY music.

So the national organization will let churches strengthen their roots locally and encourage innovation and creativity in the local context. It will provide broad parameters, equip local leaders and then get out of the way. Each church will be a frontline laboratory, creating solutions for their own problems. The national church will have not only one laboratory; it will have a hundred. It will benefit also because problems, kinks and potholes will be repaired on the local level without doing a lot of damage.

The church gives the members ownership. It encourages local planning and local styles of worship. Above all it mandates that the local church sustain itself through local funding. No funding will come from above. But in return, it will respect local ownership by curtailing national programs that extract funds and members from the local setting. According to McIntosh, our society tends “to prefer churches that have a clear focus [and] a narrowly defined vision…” By not being subsidized financially, the local congregation will be forced to figure out how to succeed. By owning its mission and strategy, the local congregation will have the resources necessary to succeed.

The church utilizes the principle of gifts-based ministry. It will let ministries arise from the members out of their interests, gifts and needs. It will provide training or encourage members to gain training from any resource. It will provide validation, moral support and encouragement, and share success stories and best practices.

In order to multiply energy, it gives the ministry away to the members, not by increasing control, but by releasing control. As Rev. Jim Edgerly reminded a recent Witnessing Summit, quoting Rick Warren, “you are organized either for control or growth. You can have one or the other, but not both.” The church will empower all the members who are willing as lay ministers and let them find their own resources for parenting, marriage, church growth, small group leadership, skills in media and the arts, worship support and leadership, relational evangelism and all kinds of personal ministries.

We will expect diverse varieties of religious experience. We will not look at the rise of variations as a problem, but as creative adaptation for the sake of advancing God’s providence.

---
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We will encourage different styles of worship. A radio ad for a local church in northern California informs listeners that the liturgical service starts at 8, the mainstream service at 10, the contemporary service at 1 and the youth service at 3. The church will allow different styles of organization, different styles of dress, food, music, prayer and venue, even for a Frisbee competition in the sanctuary. To make room, it will let go of old formats, properties and styles.

**We expect major changes.** Young people change things, and the Hartford research shows that growing churches are “very willing to change to meet new challenges,” while dying churches “are not willing to change to meet new challenges.” The Willow group could not have emerged other than with youth leadership. Further, growing churches report that they made significant, not just minor, changes in worship format or style in the last five years, while dying churches had made no changes or just minor changes.

**We drop the concern about positions and titles.** We allow members to have a significant voice in choosing their own leaders, as Rev. Hyung Jin Moon is now doing in Korea.

**Expand Your Social Surface: Go Native**

All these characteristics lead churches to open their doors to the larger society. Rodney Stark, credits “open networks” as critical in the rise of Christianity in the Roman Empire. The reason is that religions grow according to the number of contacts each of its members has with non-members, the attractiveness of the religion, and the ease of joining. In Stark’s words, “as movements grow, their social surface expands proportionately. That is, each new member expands the size of the network of attachments between the group and potential converts. However, this occurs only if the group constitutes an open network.”

The allowance of Christians to abrogate Jewish dietary laws and marry non-Christians made the early church an open network. According to Stark, Christians maintained an ethic of inclusive love to a degree greater than its rival faiths, by such things as granting full membership (and leadership positions) to women, servants and slaves, staying behind in plague-infested cities to tend to the sick non-Christians, and calling people of all racial and national origins to share the same communion. Open networks that expand the network of attachments between members and non-members are crucial to church growth.

Open networks naturally make a church indigenous, which means “originating in and typical of a region or country, natural or inborn.” In the words of Ahlen and Thomas, an indigenous church is “a group of believers who live out their life, including their socialized Christian activity, in the patterns of the local society, and for whom any transformation of that society comes out of their felt needs under the guidance of the Holy Spirit and the scriptures.”
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Rev. Paul Rajan, a UTS student who planted fifty five churches in India and more in New Zealand, expressed this point with utmost bluntness: “Cross cultural evangelism does not work.”

The New Testament churches were indigenous. They were self-propagating: they raised their own workers and spread using their own resources. They were self-supporting and did not receive funding from Jerusalem; in fact, Paul’s churches sent funds to Jerusalem. They were self-governing. The members were the owners.

Ahlen and Thomas’s “key church” strategy is a means to indigenize the church. Once an outside church (the key church) makes a base with a small group in the new culture, it allows a new church to develop within that culture. The key church doesn’t export its own culture into the target community. It expects the new congregation to be different. It separates out its beliefs and ideals from its own cultural expressions, trusting that God can work in the new culture just as well as in the original culture. When a church is indigenous, it engages the interests of local people. Therefore, “Congregations are healthier and more productive, and require little or no outside support, when started and developed in the context of the socioeconomic conditions and culture of the people who are to be evangelized or congregationalized.”

This takes us to a point that seems obvious but is exceedingly challenging to put into practice once a church settles down: the church is not a building; it is a “collection of believers” who cohere around a message. Reverend Moon concurs, “There are many cathedrals much greater than this [Belvedere garage], all over America. …I do not want to build a great church. I’m looking …for one person who under the worst conditions can still truly hold the heart of God and truly give his entire self. That is the real church, not the building.”

**The Key Church Strategy** Ahlen and Thomas elaborate, “When church is defined as people rather than as real estate, the ceiling on creativity is raised several notches.” Once the members are ready to take the church outside of their familiar walls and restrooms, they can begin to strategize for growth. They boil down two basic components of this strategy. One, go to where the people are rather than waiting for them to come to you. Two, cultivate, encourage and trust indigenous leadership. Keep in mind: we are not just talking about whites reaching Hispanics or African Americans reaching Filipinos; we are talking about 50-somethings reaching the young people who reside physically in a bedroom down the hall but culturally on another planet.

Therefore the key church strategy begins with a small mission team. (A large mission group becomes an intrusion that overwhelms the target people.) The small team teaches locals to lead, and withdraws within a year. They let the people determine the strategy, programs and ministries. They put the focus on what the locals think is important. In the process, the new group naturally plugs into local resources. The missioners reject “the arrogant assumption that the people lack the capability, discernment, financial resources and leaders to minister to one another and start a
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church.” After the team wins its first two or three converts, the early adapters will, if allowed, take ownership of the message and reach their less adventurous peers.

Growth comes when missionaries let the local leaders take this initiative. This liberates energy, for, as Ahlen and Thomas put it, “no one is lazy except in the pursuit of someone else’s idea.” Of course, they counsel, “some initiative needs to be taken by the sponsor church in order to make progress, but too much initiative from persons outside the congregation takes away ownership. When ownership is taken away, local initiative stops.”

Ahlen and Thomas reject the view that “Until the daughter church can be trusted to behave just like the parent, the parent maintains tight control over the church’s finances and activities.” New churches that develop under such control, they contend, are sterile and out of touch. In the key church strategy, sponsorship is partnership. The sponsor provides doctrine, leadership and initial resources. The new congregation provides a cultural base and local relationships. In effect, the sponsor should work itself out of a job.

In the area of funding, Ahlen and Thomas advise that it is easy to help too much, in the name of benevolence. At the beginning, one might initiate fundraising projects, with matching gifts from the sponsoring church. But long-term support communicates a welfare and entitlement mentality. It removes the sense of ownership, responsibility and incentive and makes the pastor accountable to the funding agencies, not to the community.

Set the Message, Release Control

I am convinced that doctrine is not the main determinant of health and growth. All church doctrines are strange in the eyes of an unchurched person. The growing churches are able to identify the core message embedded in the doctrine and let it work its way into new cultures. They separate the message from the home culture. Just as the message of Jesus stands independent of first-century Palestine, the message of True Parents needs to stand independent of twentieth-century Korea. This happens when people in a new culture take ownership of the message. At that point they naturally express it in their own language.

Now I need to gently point out that our Unification Church has assumed some characteristics of the mainline denominational churches, and suggest that this has been detrimental to our growth. But we are a people of deep faith and ability to sacrifice for God’s will, and so we can quickly transition into a populist faith community. In the next chapter, I will draw out some affirmations of our theology and practice that make it right and good for us to do so.

---
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VI. The Unification Church and the Populist Style

The Unification Church has its roots in populist faith. I will explain how the Divine Principle calls for a populist church, how Reverend Moon began as a populist church, and current trends toward popularizing our faith.

The Divine Principle calls for a Populist Church

Principle of Creation The Principle of Creation explains that churches grow via the populist approach. The process of “realizing the Kingdom in the hearts of believers” is set forth in the Divine Principle explanation of how groups come about and grow:

When the body acts according to the will of the mind, and the mind and body thus engage in give and take action, the individual will live a purposeful life. This individual will then attract like-minded people. As these companions work together productively, their group will grow.\(^{70}\)

This passage from the Principle of Creation describes the growth of any group, including a church. We can divide it into four parts. It begins with an individual living a purposeful life with the mind and body united. Everything begins with the unity of mind and body, centered on God. Such a person will attract like-minded people and, given good management sense, they will work together productively and the group will grow. This is the populist model. It describes how True Father began the church. It is Principle 101.

Principle of Restoration Principle 201 comes from the Principle of Restoration, where we read:

“…the universal tendency to seek out good leaders and righteous friends stems from our innermost desire to come before God through an Abel figure who is closer to God. By uniting with him, we can come closer to God ourselves. The Christian faith teaches us to be meek and humble. By this way of life, we may meet our Abel figure and thus secure the way to go before God.”\(^{71}\)

This tells ministers and members to be “good leaders and righteous friends” in order to attract God-seeking people. It also says that we need to meet our Abel figure. I’ve seen many presidents on television, but have I ever met one? No. I’ve heard Billy Graham preach in a stadium, but did I ever meet him? No. Change comes from human touch, human contact. To the new person, the usher whom they meet is more important than the senior pastor in the pulpit. I applaud, therefore, Reverend In Jin Moon’s practice of personally greeting and shaking hands with all members after her worship services.

Preparation for the Messiah In its analysis of the late medieval Catholic Church and Protestant Reformation, the Unification movement extols the populist model: a flat organization focused on
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spiritual experience. At first glance, the *Divine Principle* speaks of the Protestant Reformation in glowing terms. “After the Protestant Reformation, the way was open for people to freely seek God through their own reading of the Bible, without the mediation of the priesthood. People were no longer subjected to the authority of others in their religious life, but could freely seek their own path of faith.”

The *Divine Principle* points out that in order for the people to seek God freely, the denominational style Catholic church, including dysfunctional religious rites and bureaucracy, had to flatten and focus on encounter with God. “The people… rebelled against the ritualism and rules of the church which were constraining their free devotion. They fought against the stratified feudal system and papal authority which deprived them of autonomy. …They protested the medieval view that faith required unquestioning obedience to the dictates of the Church in all areas of life, which denied them the right to worship God according to the dictates of conscience based on their own reading of the Bible.”

As a student of the Unification teachings, for much of my life I considered this to be a celebration of the Reformation wrought by Luther and Calvin, but it is not that simple. The Protestant Reformation was a multi-faceted event involving conflict between the magisterial reformers, such as Luther and Calvin, and the free-church radicals. The magisterial side, the Lutheran and Calvinist state churches, maintained the “only one church” point of view, with the church and state united. In that system, all people are legally required to attend the church according to location. Tithing is a tax. Church parish lines and political boundaries are the same. Baptism is tantamount to citizenship in the state and so happens at birth; membership in the church is involuntary. The Protestant mainstream denominations, as well as Roman Catholic and Orthodox bodies, maintain this approach to this day. Each operates a system of parishes, districts and regions.

The Divine Principle praise of Protestantism is not for this denominational church style. The Divine Principle identifies with the other side, the free-church, populist approach. The Divine Principle exalts the house church movement of Pietism, in which believers sought authentic spirituality in small groups. It points to the parish-busting neighborhood movement of John Wesley, who later turned his “Methodist society” study groups into a church. It praises the strongly anti-establishment church leadership of George Fox, who was imprisoned for refusing to bend to any human authority, the new age spiritualism of Swedenborg, and the free-range revivalism that characterized the Great Awakenings. Thus the Divine Principle finds God
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72 “The first human ancestors, Adam and Eve, call God ‘Father.’ Should their children call Him ‘Grandfather’? They too should call Him ‘Father.’ Why is this so? From the viewpoint of God, the vertical center, all object partners of love are equal.” Sun Myung Moon, “The True Owners in Establishing the Kingdom of Peace and Unity in Heaven and on Earth” (April 10, 2006 - Seoul, Korea).
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75 “The Reformation spawned philosophies and religious teachings which developed a multi-dimensional view of life seeking to realize the God-given, original nature of human beings. …the Abel type view of life guided modern people to seek God in a deeper and more thoughtful way. … opposed the prevailing influence of rationalism in religion and stressed the importance of religious zeal and the inner life. They valued mystical experience over doctrines and rituals. … Pietism, Methodism, Quakerism and communication with the spirit world… in these diverse ways, the Abel-type view of life was maturing to form the democratic world of today.” (EDP 356-7) In contrast, Luther receives scant praise and Calvin is criticized over the predestination issue.
working not through the mainstream churches, Protestant or Catholic, but through the populist
trends in Christianity in the “period of preparation for the Messiah.”

**The Unification Church Started on a Populist Model**

Reverend Moon practiced this populist religious style as he planted his churches in Korea. Few young, visionary church leaders attempt to transform old bureaucratic denominational wineskins. Instead they abandon the old wineskins and make new ones. “What makes this reformation radical,” Miller writes, “is that the hope of reforming existing denominational churches has largely been abandoned. Instead, the leaders of these new paradigm churches are starting new movements, unbounded by denominational bureaucracy and the restraint of tradition—except the model of first-century Christianity.”

This description of the re-invention of Protestantism in the 1970s applies perfectly to Reverend Moon’s ministry of the 1940s and 50s.

When established churches in Korea rejected this young country preacher’s radical call and maintained their traditions and hierarchies, he separated from them. He established a model that resembled first-century Christianity. He did not go out and witness; he focused on his purpose and his teachings, and generated a powerful relationship with the Father in Heaven and desperate heart to care for people on earth. He consistently has sought to establish it by his sending out pioneer missionaries and in the “home church,” “family church” and “break through in the neighborhood” themes.

What he created in the early years exemplified the two characteristics of successful post-modern religious movements. One, it was a flat organization allowing local ownership, not controlled by the western missionaries or Korean hierarchies. Reverend Moon (then called “Teacher”) dressed in casual clothes, took members into the mountains for retreats and recreation, planted rice with members and slept and ate with members. As do all emerging spiritual movements, the group developed its own music, with songs written by the local members. According to Rev. Zin Moon Kim, in the 1960s Reverend Moon resisted his clergy’s pleading for the construction of church buildings.

Two, the church focused on imparting spiritual experience by emphasizing prayer, fasting, street preaching, pioneer evangelism with no cash in hand, and so forth. His worship services featured extended singing repeating the same songs over and over, generating a Pentecostal atmosphere in which people felt electricity. In Reverend Moon’s words, “People who attended called one another shik-ku, or family member. We were intoxicated with love. Anyone who came there could see what I was doing and hear what I was saying. We were connected by an inner cord of love that let us communicate with God.”

Individuals would be guided spiritually through the streets to the church. Reverend Moon dressed in “laborer’s clothes” and waited in the back of the room unnoticed until coming forward to deliver his message straight out of the Bible. He had no seminary training and did not model his ministry on traditional doctrines or liturgical forms. He fashioned his faith tradition through direct give and take with God and thorough reading of the Bible, while experiencing a life of service to others as a poor student belonging to an oppressed nation.

---
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Reverend Moon once described the ascendance of the Messiah in this way: “… he guides them with God’s character and true love, [and] they will come to understand the true reality of religion and the universe and they will [receive him]. This will happen because all beings in this universe desire to be absorbed into the sphere of a lord of love on earth who is higher than they. Even birds and dogs will go to a village that loves them more and takes care of them. It is the same for all beings.” Clearly Reverend Moon’s fundamental model is that of ministry providing direct truth, love and care greater than people can find from other sources.

**Home Church is a Populist Model**

Through home church, True Father called the membership to create a network of hubs in a pluralistic society without parish lines. Thus the Unification spiritual community was to be a network of locally-generated hubs, each of equal authority. “Now is the time when the period of national level organization is over. If you are a Kim, Kwak or any other clan, you should start *hoondokhae* first with your own families.”

Since any number of Blessed couples may live in a given geographical area, with each free to develop their community, this is a *pluralistic religious society without parish lines*: “the standard of activity is not in the province. It is the leaders of the district and the neighborhood… The problem is how to educate the district and the neighborhood and have it sink in…. Everything comes into the district and the neighborhood.”

This echoes Rev. Moon’s words that “There should be a family in that neighborhood… The mother and father have to believe Heavenly Father absolutely; they have to love sons and daughters like Heavenly Father loves the mother and father. We have to love our neighbors and the nation that is connected…” We note the personal ministries of Rev. Hyung Jin Moon and Sun Jin Moon, visiting members in their houses in Japan, sharing meals with them, sleeping in their spare bedrooms.

Churches grow by placing responsibility in the hands of local families and small groups. They are responsible to initiate viable ministries, attract new people, assimilate them, raise them, and liberate and release them as blessed central families. The Witnessing Summit’s terms for the “membership process” are “meet, member, mentor and ministry.” With this responsibility we have the freedom to figure out the best way using our own resources. Church growth theory and practice tells us that there is nothing more effective than this.

Why does decentralization energize a church? One reason is that it enables a local church to cross cultural barriers. But there is another reason. Decentralization is effective because it places responsibility in the hands of people who are on the frontline. This puts church leadership and decision-making with the people actually in touch with the market. It is there that the churches will figure out what really works in bringing their neighbors into communion with God through True Parents. Through a new generation of leadership we see that now coming into place.

---
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VII: Methods for Transitioning

Gary McIntosh presents five methods for American churches that want to shift into the populist style.\(^2\)

- The “rebirthed model” discontinues the old style and begins a new one.
- The “blended model” combines old and new styles into one format.
- The “multiple-track model” offers old and new style church side by side, separately for different segments of the congregation.
- The “seeker model” keeps the old style, with some enhancement, but develops outreach based upon the needs of a target audience.
- The “satellite model” is when a church plants a new congregation.

I can comment on specific Unificationist efforts of which I am aware that represent these methods, beginning back in the 1970s and culminating today. I am sure that the reader can bring to mind similar examples from their own church life.

Oakland was a rebirthed model. Mrs. Durst discontinued the style of San Francisco and Berkeley, although located within the same metropolitan area, and began a new one. The separation was radical and complete; there was no intermingling of members or programs between Oakland and the other Unification groups in the Bay area. Oakland did not have Sunday service or international cultural nights and did minimal outreach to city officials as were practiced in San Francisco. The group focused on witnessing, running an introductory dinner program designed for young travelers every night, and bringing guests to workshops. It inculcated an intense discipline to talk to every person one met, no matter where.

This is illustrated by an incident that took place in May, 1973. I was one of a group of missionaries from Oakland being driven across the country to bolster new centers in various states. We had arrived in Wilmington, Delaware, one afternoon and no one was home at the center. Our captain, Sheri Sager (nee Rueter), phoned in to report this to the leadership back in Oakland. The direction that came back was: send the members out witnessing. In pairs, we wandered this suburb of Wilmington for thirty minutes, seeking the lost. That is what you call relentless, unflinching focus.

I tried to develop a blended model in New Jersey, 2001. Focusing on the worship service I invested in the worship band that already was in place, added drama and dance on occasion, moved to a bigger location (a rented school across the street from the church that could house our Sunday school classes as well as worship), added visual illustrations for the sermon, an after-program for new guests and tried to create guest-oriented sermons. This was launched with an 8-week series of discussion meetings with interested members, every Thursday evening, which about 30 attended each week. We experimented with a Catholic liturgical style one Saturday morning; it showed promise but was ill-timed with regard to other demands upon the

\(^2\) McIntosh, op. cit. Three Generations is a good introduction to the field. See also Dan Southerland, Transitioning. Timothy Wright presents a valuable discussion on transitioning worship in A Community of Joy: How to Create Contemporary Worship (Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press, 1994).
congregation. We talked about services for whole families to attend together and generated some small group activity.

There resulted a growth in attendance and tithing, but the church did not add new members. As is the case in all churches, the old style and the new style differ significantly, and so much energy is consumed in making the blend that it is a challenge to find time to do ministry. (Also I was only serving part-time, commuting from two hours away; it was not my hometown church.) The after-service welcome program for new people progressed well, but we launched it a few weeks before summer vacation, and its director was also the director of summer camps, so the effort broke down. I learned that a blended approach requires depth of staffing and a highly nuanced vision for how to be all things to all people already in the congregation, plus attract and take care of new people. It is not an easy undertaking.

A multiple-track model can develop through a resourceful and empowered youth group. We read of this in the Hybels’ account of the founding of Willow Creek. Unificationist young adult Harumi Kawamura developed the first steps in 2003-4 in the New York area. In the context of her seminary studies, Kawamura designed and ran three pilot retreats for college-aged American Unificationists, many of who were disaffected from the church. She applied insights that she gleaned from an independent study of several mega-churches in the New York area. “Generation X religion,” she wrote, “emphasizes the sensual and experiential, and enjoys incorporating text, image, music, dance, and the body as venues for the expression of religious beliefs.” She went on to state, “It is my belief that this brief statement encapsulates important elements of the needs of young adults of the beginning twenty-first century in their religious experience. More specifically I refer to the experiential component in faith development and the incorporation of contemporary music and image in worship sessions. To this list I add the importance of testimonies.” She formed a core group that designed and led retreats incorporating these diverse elements. They were energized because it was their natural faith expression. Kawamura’s retreats led several participants to claim their parents’ faith even as they reshaped that faith.

A multiple track model is also proposed by Hwa Young Kim, another seminarian who studied church growth. It is also a populist style. Kim proposes that young adults who are favorable toward the church begin small group meetings, solidify their identity, and gradually invite friends to their meetings. The meetings would be low-intensity, only gradually and sensitively introducing spiritual matters. She noted that gatherings of second-generation alone “have a tendency of being horizontal rather than centered on a vertical standard.” Hence interaction with elders is necessary. She issues a call for “a place where anyone can share his or her own story with others [and] find a solution together.” She reflects the spirituality of the “emergent church,” which affirms casual community, horizontal engagement, deep spirituality and the value of elders’ life testimonies for young people.

It is my view that one reason—perhaps the main reason—these retreats struck the chord among the young adult participants was because they were “for us, by us.” The very fact that the events were germinated, planned, organized and executed by peers was the foundation for the Holy Spirit to work. Kawamura’s ministry to re-integrate marginalized Unificationist young adults is worth the careful attention on the part of church leadership. In Kim’s words, “The answer is
based on how [the second-generation] can own their faith. This cannot be substituted by the first generation or by anyone else. It has to be solved by the second-generation themselves."

So one way to develop a multi-track model is to divest ownership to diverse leaders with their own unique styles, train them, pray for them, and allow them to minister to their own sub-community.

The seeker model involves intensive steps demanding that an entire congregation shift its paradigm. An effective leader with a highly motivated core congregation can achieve this. Rev. Yoshitada Sugita describes one instance in the Unification Church. His work is significant, so I am going to spend some time with his case study presented in my UTS church growth class.83

He began with the concession that no one is comfortable talking with non-believers about God, Jesus and True Parents. The sad reality is that for this reason, Unification Church congregations often do not proclaim or even explain their affiliation with the Reverend Sun Myung Moon. Rev. Sugita, as a pastor, bucked this trend with his Tokyo congregation. “One day,” he writes, “I determined to witness to people without hiding our church’s name.” Rev. Sugita inculcated a spirit of confidence in “the second Messiah, special fortune [and] all of the spirits assisting us” by calling his members to openly declare Reverend Moon. “Everyone was thinking that victory depends on witnessing to True Parents directly.” He continually reminded members of the vision at every opportunity.

The church received opposition as public awareness of their identity spread. “Though our church had to fight with local residents and opponents, it became a very big issue. This problem got on TV everyday and I had to go to the police, the TV station, and court. We brought those who opposed us into court and finally we won a case. Why did I receive such persecution? It was because I witnessed without hiding our church’s name and I did it well.” Informed by study of Paul Cho’s Yoido Full Gospel Church, Sugita utilized proven methods for church growth and discovered that they meet with success even in an environment in which the Unification Church suffers heavy media and official attack.

His “basic point” is to start with true love, “not use the congregation with the motivation to raise donations. We leaders should have the fervent passion that we want to love them as much as we love God and True Parents.” Staff and members should “cherish each person completely.” He encouraged members to witness to their neighbors by first “giving things which they want and need. Give and give, and gain their trust.” In order to increase evangelism, he reduced the number of church meetings. “We should stop meetings and make opportunities for members to meet many people.”

His members witnessed at a large train station, using questionnaires and a booklet on the Unification Church. Guests coming to the church would meet him, hear a small choir sing, see a video about the movement, and hear the pastor’s introduction “explaining what you can get in our church,” like [Rick]Warren.” Warren stresses that the church should be completely transparent to new people as to what it offers and requires of them.

83 The Kawamura, Kim and Sugita materials are presented in “UTS Students Explore Principled Directions for Church Development,” Today’s World (July, 2004).
The initial meeting would end with refreshments and an invitation to return to hear a lecture. This lecture was adapted to the specific issues confronting the person’s life. It was “still not Divine Principle. We changed the content according to the people.” Thus, proclamation of Reverend Moon and the church identity was up front, but theological and doctrinal education was delayed in favor of presenting the value of the doctrine to one’s personal life. In other words, instead of explaining how the car works, they showed the new person how advantageous it is to have the ability to travel. As a result, new people were attracted to the church. “Education was free, young people were happy to come. They ate dinner in the church; members made the meals with love. Donation started when they understood our church well enough and became a member. As we showered them with love, they donated voluntarily. Soon the church was filled with new young people. We began to have a problem because the place become too crowded and members were too few.” In order to serve all the guests, “new members helped voluntarily.”

To assimilate and disciple these new people, Rev. Sugita utilized Dr. Paul Yonggi Cho’s model for small group worship and his members used that system to attract their neighbors and friends. Dr. Cho’s material applies biblical principles in daily life. As Sugita put it, “His success is not only the system but also the material…” Sugita simplified the “difficult” Unification Church doctrine, creating a “practical textbook using Divine Principle.” He utilized the text in two “outstanding district groups” and gained three new members. This success with two experimental groups set the stage for broader application of the strategy. “[Two or three] is not such a large number, but it was an innovative event.”

Growing churches, like Sugita’s, experiment with different methods, drop those that do not work, and adopt those that do.

Rev. Sugita enhanced his worship service, utilizing three pillars: music, video and sermon. For music, he created a youth choir. They sang gospel and folk music before starting service, using guitar, contemporary instruments and hand clapping. They also sang the national anthem and “revival type holy songs. They played a short beautiful melody after prayer.” As a result, “We could experience the effect of music. The atmosphere changed completely.”

Sugita’s greatest innovation, I believe, was his use of church-made documentaries in worship services. He correctly identified our church’s “unique selling point,” and that is True Parents and their global ministry. “Our work is more wonderful than any other denomination. We can be proud of it. Actually, our activity is of a higher level than the Full Gospel Church. Our activity is beyond denomination or nation completely. Therefore, I make use of our activities videos in every service.” He would show selections from the church-made videos before the sermon. The entire congregation was inspired, including guests, and some people even shed tears. Through the carefully edited video, “they were filled with the Holy Spirit… The Japanese church makes a good providential video every month; however, most of our members never see them. I decided each week which video we should use at the next service. Honestly, this had the most effect of the three techniques.”

Rev. Sugita’s sermon would apply Divine Principle to daily life. He spoke “in order to teach how to use our doctrine in our life. Therefore, I made a Principle sermon. I distributed a handout, which I made every week, which was a series, from the Principle of Creation to the Second Advent.” He also included two real-life testimonies to “give joy and hope to the people.” To
increase the impact, he utilized “a big screen with PowerPoint.” These elements are conventional in growing churches. A simple message aims at finding God and blessings in daily life. A printed handout, a consistent sermon series planned in advance and, most importantly, moving personal testimonies.

After the service, fellowship with food is very important. During that time, he, as senior pastor, “called the new people and their spiritual parents, and gave a special card on which was written a welcome, an introductory book, and our activity pamphlet. Furthermore, I prayed for them. Lastly, the staff gave a present to the new people. Spiritual parents and our members felt delight when we did this. As the church leader and staff loved the guest, the congregation began to trust our church.” Thus, Rev. Sugita’s members could rely that week in and week out, their pastor would provide a solid worship experience designed to win new members.

Prayer was another key element of the worship experience and overall church success. Sugita notes that, while Reverend Moon strongly believes in the spirit world and its relevance to his ministry, members do not learn, in general, how to mobilize spirit world. In short, they do not really believe that “prayer changes things.” He observes, “I’m afraid that our church members do not so much believe that our prayers have the power to make wishes come true, even our leaders, too. As leaders do not have confidence in prayer, they cannot lead the congregation. This is a big problem. Therefore, our churches have detailed information about the spirit world, but actually we do not use this good point.”

To remedy this, based upon his many experiences of victorious prayer, Rev. Sugita taught his members how to pray, based upon his studies of Christian Science and the American New Thought movement of the late nineteenth century. He found that group prayer during worship created miracles. Sugita emphasized, “seeing in our imagination with three dimensional images. The secret of working with spirits is to imagine. This method is extremely powerful; I experienced it many times. Dr. Cho is using this, and many successful Americans are using it. We use this prayer power for witnessing and economic success.”

Rev. Sugita developed an effective church newsletter. He surveyed news from throughout the Japanese church, choosing the three most inspiring reports. He found a member with good computer and artistic skill, and created a full color newsletter “which was an innovation in those days.” He added a weekly message on “how to live this week.” He searched for testimonies that would “warm their hearts” and inserted two in each bulletin.

The final strategy of note is the team witnessing system. When he noticed that, even though he had an impressive worship service, the head count did not grow much, he reflected that members in his culture “were accustomed to being given a target.” So he gathered his area leaders, all of them women. He showed them the attendance book from the previous week’s service and reported who came and who did not come in each area. He asked them to take the report to those members who did not come. They were to “write some warm words on it, and visit and hand it to them. Amazingly, the next Sunday most of the people who did not come the last week came. Again we had the same meeting. I praised the leader who did well. Gradually attendance
increased. I realized that we need to assess accurately and create a good service; these two strategies are a key to success.”

As a result of these practices, he reports, his first church tripled in size, and his next church had one hundred people join within ten months. This supports the contention that even in a difficult environment, when the Unification Church effectively utilizes conventional growth principles, it grows.\footnote{Ahlen and Thomas, p. 12.}

**The satellite model** Ahlen and Thomas observed that the vast majority of new worshiping communities launched in the 1990s are not being started by “denominational systems,” which was thought the best way in the 1950s. That is, they are not getting started by a headquarters staff assigned to build new churches. New churches are being started by “entrepreneurial individuals” working on their own or out of existing congregations.\footnote{Miller, p. 188. Miller continues, “I believe that denominations would be well served by radically decentralizing their organizational structures—abandoning central offices and locating themselves in local churches.” This resonates with Father Moon’s mid-1990s call to close down his American church headquarters and disperse his leaders throughout the local churches.}

Unificationists planted numerous churches in the 70s and can do so again. We are good at creating organizations to accomplish specific missions and there is no reason not to identify **growing the church** as a specific mission. Following the satellite model, the Unification Church can encourage couples or small groups to plant churches with their own resources. They would be released to focus on this with all their energy and heart. They would work wherever and however they so choose. Miller observes that “the real innovative ideas for reshaping the church will come from people working in the trenches, addressing the needs of people in their churches and communities, not from denominational officials.”\footnote{Hwa Un Kim holds bachelors degrees in fine art from Sungshin Women’s University and philosophy in Seogang University, Seoul, Korea. Harumi Kawamura is a graduate of the University of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, CT, and is pursuing master degrees at Harvard Divinity School, Cambridge, MA, and Unification Theological Seminary. At the time the original of this chapter was written, Yoshitada Sugita, an MRE student at UTS, had served 20 years as a church leader in Tokyo. At present he is overseeing the Unification Churches in the southern quadrant of Tokyo.} The Unification Church can grow the same way all churches grow in America. It has laity gifted with a spirit of love and the ability to teach. Young people joined the Unification Church to create the Kingdom of Heaven on earth, not for secular careers. Blessed families on their own or in small groups can be trained, ordained and released.

Here I want to mention the American church experience with door-to-door outreach during the run up to the RFK Stadium Blessing in 1997. One recalls the surge of energy that year, when blessed families were called to do home blessings locally. People were given a mission: share holy wine with new people. They had a goal: 160 families. The church provided the materials: holy wine, cups and simple guidelines: a liturgy and prayer for a home holy wine ceremony. Thus we gave ownership to the Blessed couples. A congregation in Kentucky broke through. The conventional thinking had been that new people had to come to our setting to receive the Blessing. The Kentucky group decided to take the Blessing to the people, door-to-door and even in parking lots. Suddenly the number of Blessings began multiplying exponentially and the
national headquarters took notice, received reports and shared nationwide the Kentucky method. *Within a few days*, Blessed couples across the country had adopted it. Simultaneously, the members in Nigeria pioneered another segment of the Blessing process, calling it the “holy honeymoon.” Innovation was taking place everywhere, including the production of holy candy and helicopter blessings. This brings to mind the value of standards, clear training and careful theological thinking, of which we could have used more at the time.

We can use the same ingenuity and creativity to build satellite churches. Our 1997 ministry moved toward a national stadium event, but instead of that, the satellite model would focus on building local family groups and eventually congregations. Ministry to build the newly blessed families into interfaith (yes, interfaith) communities could have followed the sacramental activity.

The satellite congregation initiative would serve to liberate the members who are called to do so to act on the anointing our True Parents have given. The leadership would validate, respect and spiritually protect the home church, hometown mission of the blessed central family, make it a providential priority, allow people to do it when, where and how they are guided by God to do, and educate, train, ordain and equip those who are called so that they can find success. Local church leaders need to examine these transitional models, develop indigenous worship and community life, and relentlessly seek God’s guidance in order to grow the Unification Church in America.
VIII: Peace Through Shared Worship

This aspiration to create deep oneness among the religious populations, one family of humankind, is perhaps the most challenging of all that Reverend Moon has assumed. Where do religions divide? Not in ideals, not in values, not in shared hopes for peace. They divide when they worship. Worship is where religion brings people before God, and each religion does this with different scriptures, methods, music, words, rituals and so forth. To articulate shared ideals and values is a good first step. But we then return to our separated houses of worship to meet the divine and form our communities and families. Does this make a lot of sense? We ultimately must harmonize worship.

How can we possibly generate shared worship? There is of course one major obstacle: worship is led by dedicated professionals supported by members to speak and minister from the deepest core of their being. The leaders’ job is to keep the people doing what they are doing in worship and to bless them through it. They are very unlikely to change; they are among the least likely candidates for conversion to a new way. People set in their separate ways before God will not accomplish the ultimate peacebuilding. But the populist church style offers hope. Why? Because the populist church style is built for change. It is designed for people who are growing out of the old formats and dealing with new cultural realities.

The populist style is not wedded to tradition, formality, symbols and doctrines. The populist style allows youth to step up as the resource for spiritual vitality. I suggest that the way to merge worship is by turning ownership of it over to youth whose affection and trust for each other surpasses their commitment to the traditional forms of their own religions. The hope for merging worship lies with turning it over to young people in an empowered local community and letting the God-experience come first.

Miller’s comments are helpful here. He states, “mainline churches need to begin to experiment with worship styles and music and communicate to a new generation of young people.”87 His research leads to the conclusion that the key is giving leadership over to the youth: “The services need to be led by young men and women whose lives have been transformed by their experience of the sacred.” The youth represent the future, “…new churches led by a new generation of young people, and these youth (even as adults) may choose to meet in entirely different types of worship spaces and may organize their churches in radically different ways from those of their parents and grandparents.”88

Extending Miller’s insight beyond Christianity, why not let the Buddhist, Christian, Unificationist, Muslim, Jewish etc. youth work out shared worship, a “radical restructuring of liturgy”? This is possible in a flattened organizational structure that allows local ownership. This is the only way it can work, because it will break traditions, drive down new avenues, and turn out differently everywhere as local participants feel their way forward.

The goal is to move from a spirituality smorgasbord to meltdown worship. The key is inspired preaching and teaching that connects people of all faiths to God and to each other. From these

87 Miller, Reinventing, p. 187.
88 Miller, op. cit., pp. 188-190.
connections will emerge new forms of ritual. While a very challenging task, I believe that in the long run it will succeed. It also is consistent with Reverend Moon’s explicit hopes, stated in 1991: “I will… hold worship services transcending all denominations. After this, I will go to spirit world. I will go there after completing that trans-denominational worship.”

Unification communities can drive this process once they open out into populist space and time. After all, Unification Church members tend to be very affirmative of other traditions, and to be flexible about their own. While our tradition is in its formative stage, it can do this. From such worshiping communities will come young adults who will marry across religious boundaries, which, Reverend Moon believes, is “even more difficult than international marriage.”

**Tuna Melt, Not Salad Bar**

The Unification Church tradition already is an amalgamation, emerging from a heterogeneous culture that wedded Buddhism, Confucianism, Shamanism and Christianity. It is no surprise that UTS welcomed members of Jewish backgrounds leading Shabbat services at Passover, nor that the new temple in Seoul features the founders of four great world faiths in the sanctuary with the church’s own founders. The course of Hyung Jin Moon, youngest son of the Founder and president of the worldwide church, is emblematic. His path of faith included several years of devotion to Buddhist meditation. The important point is that he never abjured Unification faith; Moon found Buddhist spiritual disciplines to be a path to express his Unification identity. He brings this experience into his Unificationist worship in Korea. Prior to that, he led well-received “Chun Hwa Dang” workshops in spiritual practice on the Unification Seminary campus. As a participant, I found his Buddhist-informed expression of the Divine Principle to be highly effective, in particular in addressing the call for mind-body unity.

Similarly, two young leaders in America, Jaga Gavin and Dave Hunter, spent years worshipping in independent Bible churches. Hunter was active for four years in what he calls a “dynamic youth ministry and Sunday worship service” at the Mt. Oak United Methodist Church in Maryland. That church’s web site identifies itself as solidly contemporary in style: “a Biblically based, multi-racial fellowship, located at the corners of Mt. Oak & Church Roads in Mitchellville, MD. We love Jesus. We strive to equip folks to live as His disciples.” It emphasizes the simple points: loving Jesus, Bible-based, open-minded, warm and inclusive, equipping people for discipleship. And, oh yes, it’s local—“on the corners of Mt. Oak & Church Roads.”

To illustrate, here is what Dave Hunter informed me about his years at Mt. Oak. It is all “healthy church 101.” His first point was about ministry for and by the youth, “the necessity for vibrant youth ministry led by young adults [‘not older adults’] that emphasizes fun over theology, practical rather than theoretical / theological content and meets the needs of the youth, not the church.” Second was about worship and cracking the culture code: “the importance of worship

---

89 Sun Myung Moon (Cheon Seong Gyeong) pp. 291-2. In this speech Father Moon referred to the realm of Christian denominations. Assuming that the same would apply to the realm of all religions, I posed this question to Dr. Peter Kim, Reverend Moon’s chief assistant: “My question is: here Father is referring to Christian denominations (Lutheran, Methodist, Catholic, etc.). Can we say that Father now is committed to holding worship services transcending all religions (i.e. Jewish, Christian, Muslim, Buddhist, etc.)? My feeling is that the answer is YES, but I want to check with you.” I received in response, “Dear Dr. Hendricks, My answer to your question is “YES” too. Peter Kim.”

90 Global Citizen, pp. 223-4.
and that as long as the message is ‘holy,’ any style is acceptable.” Third was about building community: “people need a connecting point with others. The church I went to did it through small groups, study groups, and retreats based on age demographic.” Fourth was about flat organizational structure and gifts-based ministry. Dave wrote that the Mt. Oak leader was “well-spoken and charismatic” but not “a pastor who tries to do it all. …In this church, the senior pastor was not as good of a public speaker as the assistant pastor. The senior pastor recognized this and therefore allowed the assistant pastor to give most of the sermons. The senior pastor guided the pastoral team internally, and allowed the pastoral team to do their jobs with very little oversight. As long as the pastoral team was internally aligned, they were free to do their ministry in whatever way God led them externally.”

Jaga and Tami Gavin were members of the Rock Church in Asheville, NC, and worked with the young adult ministry leadership for several years before the call to Lovin’ Life. A glance at the Rock web site shows us that their mission is: “Love God. Lift people. Change the world.” “That's what we're all about,” they say. “We love God and His Son Jesus - we love walking with Him daily and coming together weekly to worship Him and grow together in Him - we love serving and building His beautiful church. We want to connect with each other, encouraging and lifting each other to new levels as we share life together. And we want to change the world through our relationships - feeding the homeless, seeing people come to know God, building orphanages in other countries, comforting the broken hearted, and simply loving our neighbors.” Unlike the Mt. Oak UMC, the Rock is an independent Bible church, more typical of the contemporary approach. Jaga and Tami made a seamless transition into the Unificationist context from the Rock, showing the inclusive nature of the UC spirituality. Jaga runs the New York City young adult ministries and Tami is the volunteer coordinator.

Dave and Jaga participated with numerous Unification Church youth and veterans in a series of “witnessing summits” led by Sheri Rueter. These led to the founding of an experimental young adult ministry in (where else?) Los Angeles, in the summer of 2008. That ministry came to be called the Hub. Jatoma and Camia Gavin, co-founders of the Hub, sought “to pilot the fresh and creative ideas from the Witnessing Summits to effectively outreach to American young adults …and start a revolution.” Soon, young adults from the L.A. community stepped up to join them on the pilot team. As would be predicted from the record of all populist efforts, numerous programs were floated, including such things as “TrueQuest—monthly outdoor adventures, Community Concert Series—a monthly concert for LA’s up and coming musical talents, Artists Showcase—a night of local art and music, The REAL Relationship Seminar—a Divine Principle based seminar on relationships, The Lasting Imprint—a Divine Principle retreat focusing on the gifts we each have and our responsibility to share them with the world, and Project Connect—a young adult worship service, [and] financial IQ workshops.”

But most importantly, the Hub, Camia writes, is “a community outreach center where young adults can share their faith.” It has “given hundreds of guests and hundreds of young adult Unificationists a place to call home. …It is the local volunteers who make the HUB a place to call home and make L.A. a community of support, creativity, self-expression, and tremendous adventure.” The Hub experience led Jatoma to declare that what leads to success is “trust and

---

**Note:**
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For the youth in the modern world, forms are not set in stone and personal relationships trump institutional commitments. So the idea is that a real interfaith worshipping community can come through a young, locally-empowered pastoral team internally aligned with the ideal of True Parents, partnering with peers from diverse traditions to build a worship experience. Young adults can readily integrate what the adult sees as incompatible faiths into something other young adults see as cool. I want to think this way: meltdown worship inclusive of diverse tradition strands is not a stopgap measure in response to the crisis our world is facing. No—far from it! It is what makes life exciting. It’s what we want! It can expand ministry into something hugely powerful, once we wrap our minds around it—and gain a little education about each other.

Unification youth are not wedded to traditional religious styles but are very open to spirituality and religion in general. They can link with young people of all faiths and, in the right context, build new forms of worship, locality by locality. I think this will take place naturally as the Unification Church evolves as an authentically open populist community, because our core value is, after all, one family under God. It is where God wants to work!

Take a Time, Peace, and Do

We Unificationists are always in a hurry. Perhaps it is Reverend Moon’s Presbyterian background. Everything is scheduled to be completed very quickly. It is good to know that the eschatological clock is ticking. But at the same time, we need historical perspective.

We can learn something from the role of Reverend Moon and his movement in the fall of the Berlin Wall. The fall of communism was a sudden and unexpected historical shift, but research such as Thomas Ward’s shows that behind it was a sustained, systematic educational and activist strategy carried out over decades. The movement published books and pamphlets offering careful analyses of the flaws of communism in terms of ideology and social practice. It sponsored seminars and conferences on the subject for decades, in Korea, Japan, the US, South America and Europe. It held countless rallies with a very clear message on college campuses, confronting Marxist student groups to the point of violence. It gathered 1.2 million people in Seoul in a rally against communism. It created the CAUSA movement, educating tens of thousands of American ministers and political leaders from all parties in the early to mid-eighties. It sent journalists on all-expenses paid fact-finding tours to Russia, where they developed relations with the Russian media, some of whom were hungry to get onto the world stage. The teaching was well informed and the presentation was technically advanced and persuasive. In other words, Reverend Moon conducted a focused, ideologically grounded, strategically intelligent campaign to overcome communism, spanning decades.

The lesson naturally would be that in order to grow the Unification Church, the membership needs to conduct a focused, ideologically grounded, strategically-intelligent campaign spanning decades, with objectives as clear and simple as that wrapped up in the phrase “the end of communism.” That objective was easy to measure: the collapse of the communist empire centered in Moscow. The objective of church growth has to be equally simple: grow the church.

---
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Rodney Stark’s research reveals that early Christianity did not grow by leaps and bounds. It came to dominate the Mediterranean world by sustaining a growth rate of 3.42% per year, year in and year out. That means that a church of 100 would grow to 103 or 104 in that year, and to 107-108 the next. Stark’s conclusion is that Christianity did not grow due to miracles, state legislation, or the impressive acts of martyrs. Rather, “the primary means of its growth was through the united and motivated efforts of the growing numbers of Christian believers, who invited their friends, relatives and neighbors to share the ‘good news.’”

In reality, religious do not grow by miraculous intervention unless it leads to sustained open networks, compassionate care, human love and acceptance, healthy values and a spiritual message of God over generations. So too, Reverend Moon does not rely on miracles, but on human interaction. Regarding miracles, he states that they “tend to confuse people …A faith that relies on unexplained or miraculous occurrences is not a healthy faith. All sin must be restored through redemption. It cannot be done by relying on spiritual powers. As our church began to mature, I stopped talking to members about the things that I was seeing with my heart’s eyes.” In his words, his new members “…believed what I taught and kept coming to me. The reason was that I opened a way for them to resolve their frustrations. Before I knew the truth, I, too, was frustrated. I was frustrated when I looked up to heaven and when I looked at the people around me. This is why I could understand the frustrations of the people who came to our church. …Young people who sought me out found answers in the words that I spoke. They wanted to come to our church and join me on my spiritual journey.”

Long-term growth can happen with reference to a messianic social impact of Reverend and Mrs. Moon and the Unification theological vision. To learn from history, this requires sustained strategic investment focused over decades with clear and simple objectives. The Divine Principle, finally, is clear about this. The Messiah, it states, “will emerge from among a group of reborn believers to become the leader of Christians.” In fact, the Principle text prophesies that he will be persecuted as the movement he generates “sprouts and grows amidst the final phases of the old age and comes into conflict with that age.”

“At Christ’s Second Advent, because he will be born on the earth, the Kingdom of Heaven will be realized first in the hearts of those who believe in him and follow him. When these individuals increase in number to form societies and nations, the Kingdom of Heaven within will gradually be manifested in the world as an outward, visible reality.”

May God grant us individuals the power and grace to realize the Kingdom of Heaven in our hearts, believe in and follow the Messiah, increase in number and form societies and nations embodying God as an outward, visible reality.

---
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